

## Comments

### LTP 2021-31

<b>Comment ID</b>	899
<b>Response Date</b>	11/04/21 1:21 PM
<b>Status</b>	Submitted
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.1
<b>First name</b>	Rosalee
<b>Surname</b>	Jenkin
<b>Email address</b>	
<b>Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?</b>	No, I'm submitting as an individual
<b>Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?</b>	Yes
<b>Which age category are you in?</b>	25-39 years old
<b>Which suburb or area do you live in?</b>	
<b>Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?</b>	Yes
<b>Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?</b>	Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives
<b>It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?</b>	
I'm a rate payer and I definitely think the proposed rates increases are necessary to respond to the climate emergency.	
<b>Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:</b>	
<b>Water and Land</b>	Yes
<b>Biodiversity and Biosecurity</b>	Yes

<b>Climate Change and Community Resilience</b>	Yes
<b>Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development</b>	Yes
<b>Regional and Strategic Leadership</b>	Yes

<b>Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):</b>	. Water and Land
	. Climate Change and Community Resilience
	. Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development

**Water and Land portfolio comments:**

The level of nitrates in our water is far too high and I think ECan needs to be taking more drastic measures to address this. Nitrate polluters should be paying more, and the maximum permissible levels should be reduced. ECan should take a much stronger stance in terms of changing the rules, lobbying central govt, and through monitoring and enforcement.

**Climate Change and Community Resilience portfolio comments:**

Really pleased to see the amount of adaptation work being included, and the focus on community resilience. Questioning why the total budget for "regional and strategic leadership" is considerably higher than the climate change & resilience budget. I would like to see this the other way around

**Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:**

Public transport needs to be drastically improved - more frequent services, more coverage, lower fares, ideally together with a social marketing campaign aimed at behaviour change (joint effort with CCC). Great to see the uptake of low-emissions buses - more of this please!

<b>Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?</b>	Option 1 is affordable
-------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------

<b>Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?</b>	Yes
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	-----

**Any further comments on affordability for the community?**

PROVIDED that rates are progressively targeted so that wealthier households pay more, and poorer households pay a less / a minimal amount.

<b>Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?</b>	No
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----

**Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?**

I think the UAGC should be scrapped completely, and both the general and targeted rates should be made progressive by ensuring they are tied to the capital value of a property. There should also be a minimum threshold for extra rates to apply, to ensure households at the poor end do not have to pay more.

<b>Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?</b>	Don't know
-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------

**Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?**

Don't know

**Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:**

Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch**

Using aquifer recharge to manage freshwater quality

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki**

**Do you wish to speak to your submission?**

Yes

*We may use your phone number to contact you to arrange attendance at a hearing. This information will be kept private.*

**Phone number**

**Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?**

Yes

**How did you find out about giving feedback?**

- . Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Twitter)
- . Postcard
- . Word of mouth

*Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.*

**There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed:**      Yes

**Tell us which information you do not want disclosed:**      email address, phone, home address