

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 890

Response Date 11/04/21 11:32 AM

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

First name Barry

Surname Austin

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? No

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and No opportunities?

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

Cleaning up the water to meet standards that have been well reseached and proven

Which of the proposed options would you like to Other option (please specify) see us progress with?

Rate rise of the proposed amount is not sustainable by the rural community.

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

Remove rewrite of water plan as at great cost it has already been done, might need a few small changes .All non core areas of expenditure should be removed as there will be increased cost in the core areas such as pest control habitat protection.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes

Climate Change and Community Resilience No

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development No.

Regional and Strategic Leadership No

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Water and Land
Biodiversity and Biosecurity

Water and Land portfolio comments:

MAR project should be speeded up and increased as it has proved to be one of the valuable tools in decreasing N. levels in the time frame required.

Biodiversity and Biosecurity portfolio comments:

The number of pests in the Ecan area have increased and got worse in the last few years. Wallabies and wilding pines to mention a couple.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?

Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

A rate spread across ever household evenly would be acceptable. Targeted rates on special projects , MAR, river control we can live with , if they provide benefit to those that pay.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

More control over spending needs to be practiced.

Do you support the changes we're proposing to No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

More use of the UAGC to fund projects that benefit only the city rate payers.

Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Keep spending to an affordable level, don't borrow as it only delays payment.

Do you support the rationale and proposed No changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?

Loading greater cost onto the rural community.

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Ashburton district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Other initiative/s

The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue?

Any further comments on Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge? Yes

Proved to be benificial to the long term goal together with on farm gains.

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission?

No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the Yes outcome of this consultation?

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Meeting, hui or event

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: