

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 872

Response Date 11/04/21 11:32 AM

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

First name john

Surname MAWLE

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 65+ years old

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and No opportunities?

Which of the proposed options would you like to Other option (please specify) see us progress with?

The rate rise proposed is excessive and the projects proposed to numerous. A rise of 8 to 10 % should be adequate and trim the number of projects.

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

As ratepayers we have already spent \$60 million on developing plans. Many of the plans proposed seem little more than window dressing, with little chance of a productive outcome.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes

Climate Change and Community Resilience Unsure

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Unsure

Regional and Strategic Leadership Unsure

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Water and Land

Biodiversity and Biosecurity

Climate Change and Community Resilience Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development

Regional and Strategic Leadership

Water and Land portfolio comments:

This has already been been covered in previous Ecan initiatives or is the work already done and money spent just wasted.

Biodiversity and Biosecurity portfolio comments:

Biosecurity is a priority. Some wetland restoration.

Climate Change and Community Resilience portfolio comments:

Yes flooding whether by Sea or River should be prevented where economically possible.

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:

I would point out that as a rural rate payer we get very little support from rural transport whilst urban rate payers are well served. So why not target these rates to the communities that have the benefit of using them.

Regional and Strategic Leadership portfolio comments:

Ecan is taking on projects which should be the responsibility of other departments.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Neither option is affordable your household?

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

As rural ratepayers we seem to shoulder a higher portion of the rate to make them more affordable for urban ratepayers.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

Affordability should be the watchword not pipedream.

Do you support the changes we're proposing to No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

Charges should fall on those who receive the most benefit ie Christchurch dwellers.

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

The money has to be paid eventually it is just delaying the inevitable.

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

No

No

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Ashburton district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

On-demand public transport services Other initiative/s

The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue?

Any further comments on Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge? Yes

Costs for these projects should be targeted to the areas that receive them.

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?

Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Meeting, hui or event

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: