

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	861
Response Date	11/04/21 8:52 AM
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
First name	Colin
Surname	Guild
Email address	

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 65+ years old

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities? No

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

E can should go back to core activities of flood control biodiversity and water management. Central Govt is putting too much pressure with proposed regulations

Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with? Option 2: statutory work and prior commitments

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

stay with core activity of flood control, water, biosecurity. we do not need and cannot afford additional regulations

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Yes
-----------------------	-----

Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes
Climate Change and Community Resilience	No
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Unsure
Regional and Strategic Leadership	No

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

- . Water and Land
- . Biodiversity and Biosecurity
- . Climate Change and Community Resilience
- . Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development
- . Regional and Strategic Leadership

Water and Land portfolio comments:

core activity--stick with this

Biodiversity and Biosecurity portfolio comments:

as above-- core activity

Climate Change and Community Resilience portfolio comments:

the CCC recommendations are just announced ---we need more time to get public feedback---not E can role.

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:

these are urban matters. as a rural ratepayer I would have strong objections to any rating burden on this as I do not access these things.

Regional and Strategic Leadership portfolio comments:

I really don't understand what is meant by this but so not consider it is anything to do with Ecan---sounds expensive with uncertain outcomes.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

Rural ratepayers already have the greater burden.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? No

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

rural ratepayers are struggling to understand and comply with new proposals we don't need any extra charges on top

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Don't know

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

not sure here---but if this was (say) \$110 per household would this suffice? if yes then I would support it (all urban households)

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? No

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

borrowing just delays the inevitable---it has to be paid back

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Don't know

Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?

already fees such as consents are widely viewed as excessive. they should be targeted where they fall and closely monitored as Ecan is losing the confidence of many ratepayers

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Selwyn district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn . Using aquifer recharge to manage freshwater quality

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Word of mouth

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No