

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	850
Response Date	11/04/21 7:31 AM
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
First name	Paul
Surname	de Latour
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes
Which age category are you in?	65+ years old
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Yes
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives
It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?	
See Submission	
Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:	
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes
Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):	. Biodiversity and Biosecurity

Biodiversity and Biosecurity portfolio comments:

See Submission

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

See Submission

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? Don't know

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Yes

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? Yes

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Don't know

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback?

- . Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Twitter)
- . Environment Canterbury website
- . Word of mouth
- . Newspaper
- . Meeting, hui or event

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No

Long Term Plan
Environment Canterbury

10 April 2021

Dear Councillors,

Re: Draft Long Term Plan Submission

This submission has been prepared and submitted by Paul de Latour

As a member of the Christchurch Biosecurity Advisory Group I am committed to the control of unwanted invasive pests and weeds on Banks Peninsula also including the growth of biodiversity.

I support the Council's strong emphasis on biodiversity and biosecurity in the LTP.

The Council's has shown support, leadership and commitment in achieving pest and weed control on Banks Peninsula, with growing understanding of biodiversity.

My concern is the cost of biodiversity to the landowners on Banks Peninsula.

Banks Peninsula is unique with its growing biodiversity and with time will continue to improve.

Banks Peninsula is the holiday and play ground of the people of Christchurch.

I feel the burden of this cost should be shared by the great area of Christchurch as the growth will be to the advantage of ratepayers in Christchurch for their enjoyment and pleasure.

The targeted rate for biodiversity to land owners on Banks Peninsula be reduced to reflect the benefit for the people of Christchurch, as the current funding arrangement will place a significant additional financial burden on landowners.

This could be achieved by reducing the current targeted component or even extend the targeted rate area in to Christchurch.

Yours faithfully

Paul de Latour

