

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 841

11/04/21 7:31 AM **Response Date**

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

First name Christina

Surname Troup

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 65+ years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and Yes opportunities?

Which of the proposed options would you like to Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and see us progress with? accelerating key initiatives

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

If anything, the plan does not go far enough towards addressing climate change, biodiversity and water quality issues

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes Climate Change and Community Resilience Yes Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Yes Regional and Strategic Leadership Yes Do you have any further comments on the Water and Land activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please Biodiversity and Biosecurity select all those you wish to comment on): Climate Change and Community Resilience Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Regional and Strategic Leadership Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Option 1 is affordable your household? Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a Yes whole for the Canterbury community? Any further comments on affordability for the community? The cost of not making changes/improvements is greater than the cost of taking action now. Do you support the changes we're proposing to Yes how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure? Borrowing for capital expenditure is acceptable (and necessary) whereas borrowing for operating expenditure is passing the buck. Do you support the rationale and proposed Yes changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula district below: Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following ...

initiatives in your area? Christchurch

On-demand public transport services

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki Do you wish to speak to your submission? No Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the Yes outcome of this consultation? How did you find out about giving feedback? Word of mouth Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy. There is personal information/contact details in Yes my submission I do not want disclosed: Tell us which information you do not want email address disclosed: