

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 827

Response Date 11/04/21 7:31 AM

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

First name Murray

Surname Redmond

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 25-39 years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and No **opportunities?**

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

Plans already in place that have had to be altered to fit the EFW and already cost ratepayers \$60m, ecan should push harder for these plans to be recognised by central government

Which of the proposed options would you like to Other option (please specify) see us progress with?

The rates rise should be limited to 10% the 25% rise is unacceptable, ecan should focus on core activities

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

Remove renewing subregional plan and recognise the \$60m of ratepayers money already spent on developing plans

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes

Climate Change and Community Resilience No

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development No

Regional and Strategic Leadership No

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Water and Land

Water and Land portfolio comments:

Don't support having to redo plans to fit with the EFW

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for

Neither option is affordable

your household?

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a Nowhole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

Ecan should reduce there spending

Do you support the changes we're proposing to No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

More of the costs of running ecan should be payed for by people in Christchurch

No

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Do you support the rationale and proposed No changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your Ashburton district district below:

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn Would you like to see us investing in the following . Other initiative/s initiatives in your area? Ashburton The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is Yes a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue? Any further comments on Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge? Yes People of hinds should decide Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki Do you wish to speak to your submission? No Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback?

. Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Twitter)
. Email

outcome of this consultation?

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed:	No