

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	800
Response Date	11/04/21 7:30 AM
Status	Submitted
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.1
First name	Trevor
Surname	Isitt
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes
Which age category are you in?	65+ years old
Which suburb or area do you live in?	
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Don't know
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives
It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?	
Main focus should be the environment.	
Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:	
Water and Land	Unsure
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Unsure
Climate Change and Community Resilience	Unsure

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Unsure

Regional and Strategic Leadership Unsure

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on): . Water and Land

Water and Land portfolio comments:

I support the public being granted the opportunity to be heard, on any decision made by elected representatives or any delegated authority that has consequences for public health and the environment.

I support that Ecan prioritise and resource an urgent plan change to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan, that actively provides for public input when processing resource consents that have consequences for public health and the environment. Until then, these consents should not be granted.

I Do NOT support the continuation of MAR. Don't take the water in the first place and this wouldn't be necessary.

I do not support ratepayers funding the cleanup for environmental pollution. Polluters should pay.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Option 2 is affordable

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? Don't know

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? No

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

Should be targeted to specific areas.

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? Don't know

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Don't know

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Meeting, hui or event

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No