

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 780

11/04/21 7:30 AM **Response Date**

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

First name Paula

Surname Godfrey

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 25-39 years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and Yes opportunities?

Which of the proposed options would you like to Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and see us progress with?

accelerating key initiatives

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

The plan is comprehensive. I would like to see more ephasis on retaining existing biodiversity and freshwater values as well as the already strong focus on restoration.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes Climate Change and Community Resilience Yes

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Yes

Regional and Strategic Leadership Yes

Do you have any further comments on the

activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please . Biodiversity and Biosecurity

select all those you wish to comment on):

Climate Change and Community Resilience

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development

Water and Land

Water and Land portfolio comments:

I would like to see all consented resource consents for water takes to be reviewed in the light of Te Mana o te Wai to assess if they still fit into the outcomes of climate change, biodiversity and freshwater values. Otherwise, damage to the environment will continue to happen, and will be more costly to fix later down the line. Freshwater and aquifier health and sustainability is key.

Biodiversity and Biosecurity portfolio comments:

I would like to see more emphasis on retaining existing habitat values over restoration projects. I think that these areas are still being cleared using permitted activites, which is depleting already highly rare environments.

A restored area is not as diverse as a natural (abeit modified) area.

Climate Change and Community Resilience portfolio comments:

Climate change is really important. All consents and projects should be assessed for impact on the environment, and if the projects fit into the climate change objectives.

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:

Public transport should be cheaper. At the moment it cost more, AND takes twice as long to travel via public transport than to take a private car (i've done the maths). I'll happily pay more in rates and tax to have cheaper more accessible public transport. At the moment, i'm not surprised that public transport is not used much.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Option 2 is affordable **your household?**

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

I come from a low income household. Rate's is an expense we can't really afford, but is not considered to be as high a price as the impacts from climate change will be in the future. Better to pay more now, and reduce the impact later. If you are lucky enough to own a home, you can afford the rates. It's cheaper than renting.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a Yes whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

Rates increase will be difficult for the elderly who have no more capacity to earn more money. Perhaps those that cannot afford higher rates (lowest income homeowners) can apply for a special case decrease.

Do you support the changes we're proposing to Yes how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Would you support the use of borrowing for Yes operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? Do you support the rationale and proposed Yes changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula district below: Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri Would you like to see us investing in the following ... On-demand public transport services initiatives in your area? Christchurch Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Any further comments?

initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Would you like to see us investing in the following

Freshwater is a really big issue in Canterbury. This issue is of high concern, and the effects of intensive farming and high water takes has a long trail of effects. Recharging aquifiers means that the water take from the aquifiers is unsustainable. Unsustainable actions and projects should be reviewed within the next 10 years.

Do you wish to speak to your submission? Yes

We may use your phone number to contact you to arrange attendance at a hearing. This information will be kept private.

Phone number

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?

Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback?

Postcard

. Word of mouth

Meeting, hui or event

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: