

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 778

11/04/21 7:30 AM **Response Date**

Status Submitted

Submission Type Web

Version 0.1

First name Donna

Surname Lusby

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 40-64 years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and Yes opportunities?

Which of the proposed options would you like to Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and see us progress with? accelerating key initiatives

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

Enviroschools funding - encouraging youth engagement in environmental issues is key to a sustainable future.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes Climate Change and Community Resilience

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Yes

Regional and Strategic Leadership Yes

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?

Option 1 is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

I think for the overall benefits that Option 1 will bring to the region in terms of conservation, transport and other initiatives, this is a small cost overall.

Yes

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

Although personally this is affordable and I can see the long term benefits of promoting conservation and sustainable values through the proposed changes in Option 1, I understand that my views will likely not be shared by those who cannot afford these changes and who are already struggling to meet their financial commitments. I wonder if there should be a sliding scale for those who are on low incomes, and whether there is any way of ensuring that these costs are not passed on to those in rental accommodation on lower incomes, but rather are worn more by those who can afford them.

Do you support the changes we're proposing to Yes how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

See my comments above

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Yes

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Yes

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki Do you wish to speak to your submission? No Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the Yes outcome of this consultation? How did you find out about giving feedback? Other (please specify) Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy. There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: