

Comments

LTP 2021-31		
Comment ID	761	
Response Date	11/04/21 7:30 AM	
Status	Processed	
Submission Type	Web	
Version	0.3	
First name	Jim	
Surname	Turpin	
Email address		
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual	
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes	
Which age category are you in?	65+ years old	
Which suburb or area do you live in?		
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Don't know	
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Other option (please specify)	
Requirement to re-evaluate the Air Noise Contours surrounding Christchurch International Airprt every ten years		
It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?		

That an immediate re-evaluation of the Airport Noise Contours be commenced. That all the restrictions on development be removed up to and including the 55 dn contour after contour review.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Unsure	
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Unsure	
Climate Change and Community Resilience	Unsure	
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Unsure	
Regional and Strategic Leadership	No	
Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):	. Regional and Strategic Leadership	
Regional and Strategic Leadership portfolio comments:		
	ocal Body's and associated entities and another unfair o not have the resources of the publicly funded Local	
Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?	Neither option is affordable	
Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?	No	
Any further comments on affordability for the con	nmunity?	
Should be pretty obvious %age wise		
Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?	No	
Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?		
Should be pretty obvious %age wise		
Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?	No	
Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?		
Cut expenditure to realistic and affordable leve	ls.	
Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?	Don't know	
Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	-	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura		

Would you like to see us investing in the following	
initiatives in your area? Hurunui	

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? Yes

We may use your phone number to contact you to arrange attendance at a hearing. This information will be kept private.

Phone number

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the Yes outcome of this consultation?

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Word of mouth

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: