

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	742
Response Date	10/04/21 9:47 AM
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5
First name	Angela
Surname	Cushnie
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes
Which age category are you in?	40-64 years old
Which suburb or area do you live in?	
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Don't know
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Other option (please specify)

reduce duplication of work already carried out under the CWMS. Honour the vision which was originally agreed upon by a collaborative and passionate group of people.

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

My concern is the replication required to accommodate the NPSFW when such a significant amount of work has already been carried out in the sub regional planning. Not only is the cost component a reckless use of public money, the people resource requirement is not feasible.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Yes
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes
Climate Change and Community Resilience	No
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	No
Regional and Strategic Leadership	Yes

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

☐ Water and Land
☐ Biodiversity and Biosecurity

Water and Land portfolio comments:

Canterbury Water Management Strategy and the collaborative process that saw indepth community consultation and the coming together of the hearts and minds of those who committed their mana, time, energy and energy to this process.

Biodiversity and Biosecurity portfolio comments:

This space excessively busy and noisy with mind bending replication and a top heavy approach which does is completely disconnected with what is happening on the ground. Honour the process of community-led.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

Covid disruption unprecedent and not yet fully understood or finished, unrealistic expectations in terms of NPSFW timeline. NPS for biodiversity yet to land and Climate Change train still heading for the station. 160 years of development and modification to arrive at the point we are at now and a more achievable/sustainable pace of change required to address the challenges we now face. Our most vulnerable families will be the most impacted. Feels like we are reacting rather than responding to the directions from Central Government.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? No

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

Local government rate increases, 3 waters an increase in rates not yet determined, climate change impact on households and consumers etc. The whole picture needs to be given due consideration which I do not feel has happened. Again reacting and not responding.

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? No

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? No

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

live within our means, do not burden tomorrow's families with today's desire to solve all the world problems in one term.

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Don't know

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Ashburton district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [\[link\]](#). Do you want to see this project continue?

Yes

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Any further comments?

Do you wish to speak to your submission? Yes

We may use your phone number to contact you to arrange attendance at a hearing. This information will be kept private.

Phone number

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Meeting, hui or event

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No