

Comments

LTP 2021-31		
Comment ID	740	
Response Date	10/04/21 9:47 AM	
Status	Processed	
Submission Type	Web	
Version	0.5	
First name	Carol	
Surname	McConnell	
Email address		
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual	
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	No	
Which age category are you in?	-	
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	No	
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Other option (please specify)	
reduce programmes to fit into responsible budget 25% rates rise is irresponsible ecan should focus on core projects		

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

expensive items ie leading communit resilience, enviro schools and youth engagement have no tangible outcomes, leave this to MOE. focus on land and water, flood protection and prioritise habitat protection and restoration

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Yes
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes
Climate Change and Community Resilience	No
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	No
Regional and Strategic Leadership	No
Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):	 Water and Land Biodiversity and Biosecurity Climate Change and Community Resilience Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Regional and Strategic Leadership

Water and Land portfolio comments:

Do not support having to redo all the work which has been achieved already, ratepayers need ecan to look after our investment and existing plans, ecan has no respect for ratepayers past investment.

Biodiversity and Biosecurity portfolio comments:

Support wetland and habitat protection.

Am concerned not enough done to care for braided rivers, should be more planting programmes and protection from gorse, erosion and other introduced weeds.

Climate Change and Community Resilience portfolio comments:

There are other agencies already at work here, do what ecan does.

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:

as rural ratepayer i have no need to invest in transport or uban development costs with no benefit. Rural rate payers already pay hugely to offset urban rates. Urban development is totally against all the climate change rhetoric -

Regional and Strategic Leadership portfolio comments:

alot of money spent on youth engagement and eviro schools is MOE reponsibility

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Neither option is affordable your household?

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

ECAN believes the proposals are affordable for urban ratepayers as most of cost falls on rural. Our rates rise is not affordable when most of money goes to fees and charges on top of the already astronomical costs of compliance.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

Ecan needs to focus on core values, charge fairly and justly across the board.

Do you support the changes we're proposing to No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

Fund the projects that benefit the people, do the ground work look after the assets we have and stop hurting the rural community, we already pay more than our fair share.

Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Borrowing only delays and increases the costs, spend what is affordable and prioritise what is essential.

Do you support the rationale and proposed No changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?

These changes will cost rural ratepayers considerably more in excessive rate rises .

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	Ashburton district
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton	. Other initiative/s
The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue?	Yes

Any further comments on Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge? Yes

This project is delivering very promising and positive outcomes, yes it is expensive however at least the rural community is showing what can be achieved. More effective that alot of other projects.

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki		
Do you wish to speak to your submission?	No	
Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?	Yes	
How did you find out about giving feedback?		Meeting, hui or event
Your information is held and administered by Environ 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.	ment	Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: