

Comments

LTP 2021-31	
Comment ID	721
Response Date	10/04/21 9:47 AM
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.20
First name	
Surname	
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes
Which age category are you in?	25-39 years old
Which suburb or area do you live in?	
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Yes
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

Proposed budget increase is unaffordable and seems like there is a massive amount of ratepayer funds been wasted. Key area that needs to be addressed is the over \$20m proposed to be spend on plans to ensure they align with the requirements of the government's Freshwater reforms. I understand that Ecan has already spent approximately \$60m developing the existing plans the government's Freshwater reforms replace. This level of proposed additional spending is very hard to stomach given that the existing plans appear to be set to achieve the same outcomes as the government's Freshwater reforms. I know that ECan cannot ignore the government's Freshwater reforms but surely there needs to be more appreciation of the existing plans (including the large investment in these) if they are on track to reach the same outcomes as plans the government's Freshwater reforms.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	No		
Biodiversity and Biosecurity		Yes	
Climate Change and Community Resilience		Unsure	
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Yes		
Regional and Strategic Leadership	No		
Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):		Water and Land	

Water and Land portfolio comments:

Over \$20m proposed to be spend on plans to ensure they align with the requirements of the government's Freshwater reforms. I understand that Ecan has already spent approximately \$60m developing the existing plans the government's Freshwater reforms replace. This level of proposed additional spending is very hard to stomach given that the existing plans appear to be set to achieve the same outcomes as the government's Freshwater reforms. I know that ECan cannot ignore the government's Freshwater reforms but surely there needs to be more appreciation of the existing plans (including the large investment in these) if they are on track to reach the same outcomes as plans the government's Freshwater reforms.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Neither option is affordable your household?

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

As mentioned previously

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

As mentioned previously

Do you support the changes we're proposing to No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

The proposed Uniform Annual General Charges seems to be too low. The charge does not seem to be set to a level that fairly reflects the benefits to all ratepayers from certain items (i.e. youth engagement, parks and recreation, Leadership)

Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?	Don't know	
Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	Selwyn district	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn	 On-demand public transport services Using aquifer recharge to manage freshwater quality 	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki		
Do you wish to speak to your submission?	No	
Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?	Yes	
How did you find out about giving feedback?	. Word of mouth . Newspaper	
Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.		

There is personal information/contact details in Yes my submission I do not want disclosed:

Tell us which information you do not want disclosed:

Name and email