

### Comments

LTP 2021-31	
Comment ID	674
Response Date	9/04/21 1:43 PM
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.13
First name	Joshua
Surname	Wharton
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes
Which age category are you in?	15– 24 years old
Which suburb or area do you live in?	
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Yes
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives

# It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

I like the investment into our environment. I think 24.5% is shocking, and seems quite a lot. But in the grand-scheme of things... I'd happily pay a LOT more, for the work that you guys do. I think not only is it essential for our future, but for the identity of the country on the world stage, and Canterbury's identity within that.

I particularly like the community-work that you have going on.

### Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

### Water and Land

Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes
Climate Change and Community Resilience	Yes
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Yes
Regional and Strategic Leadership	Yes

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Option 1 is affordable your household?

### Any further comments on affordability for your household?

I wouldn't mind at all, if the increase was around 30%, and that allowed ECan the ability to chase ambitious goals for the region. I think we should be aiming WELL above the statutory requirements here.

## Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a Yes whole for the Canterbury community?

### Any further comments on affordability for the community?

I think spend here (and rates for our local Council) is far more viable and cost-efficient than what we pay for rates. As a community, we get SO MUCH for our rates, for only around \$2,000 (for me) annually. Yet for around \$20,000 of taxes... I feel like I get contextually very little.

# **Do you support the changes we're proposing to** Don't know **how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?**

#### Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

I don't really understand this... but looking at the consultation document... I like investing in our youth engagement and education (I don't understand why we are dropping community engagement...?)

Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

### Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Our community is now so indebted through various places at a local AND national level. I would rather see us pay our borrowing down, rather than lump the burden on future generations. They will have even greater challenges than now.

**Do you support the rationale and proposed** Don't know changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

### Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?

I also don't really understand this at all. Can't see much about it in the consultation document.

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch	<ul> <li>On-demand public transport services</li> <li>Using aquifer recharge to manage freshwater quality</li> <li>Other initiative/s (please specify)</li> </ul>

### Other initiative/s (please specify) Christchurch

I think... be bold in trying new initiatives. I don't understand aquifer recharge personally, but i'm in support of doing all we can.

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

#### Any further comments?

In general... I think the % increase seems very dramatic, but as i've mentioned, it's not a massive increase in terms of the net change to our household. We get a lot lot lot more from our rates than we do from our taxes, despite the difference being 10-fold. I'd be happy with even more than 25%, if it was being used in the right places.

Do you wish to speak to your submission?	No
Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?	No

### How did you find out about giving feedback?

- Environment Canterbury website
- Word of mouth

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

.

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: