

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	657
Response Date	9/04/21 10:52 AM
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.4
Files	
First name	Brian
Surname	Thompson
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Which age category are you in?	-
Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):	
Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	-
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch	

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

How did you find out about giving feedback?

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No

Environment Canterbury Long Term Plan Te Pae Tawhiti 2021-31

Name of Submitter: BRIAN THOMPSON

Address: _____

Post Code: _____

Phone Number: _____

Email: _____

I ☒ do not wish to speak to my submission (circle one)

I support:

1. The public being granted the opportunity to be heard, on any decision made by elected representatives or any delegated authority that has consequences for public health and the environment.

2. That Environment Canterbury prioritise and resource an urgent plan change to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan, that actively provides for public input when processing resource consents that have consequences for public health and the environment. Until then, these consents should not be granted.

3. Other matters I would like Environment Canterbury to consider (continue overleaf if necessary):

PLEASE TAKE ANOTHER LOOK AT AND ALTER YOUR
POLICY ON GOOSE CONTROL. CURRENTLY ANY PATCH OF
GOOSE OVER 500M IS COMPLIANT. THIS REWARDS THOSE
WHO HAVE NOT ATTEMPTED TO CONTROL GOOSE IN THE PAST.
COMPLIANT PATCHES OF GOOSE CAN CONTINUE TO GROW
AND STILL REMAIN COMPLIANT.

ALSO HOW ABOUT DOING SOMETHING ABOUT GOOSE
IN RIVERBEDS UNDER YOUR CONTROL.
OVERSEER.

THE SAME PRINCIPLE APPLIES TO OVERSEER. THOSE WHO
HAVE HAD LOW DISCHARGES IN THE PAST ARE PENALISED
BY NOT BEING ALLOWED TO INTENSIFY THEIR FARM AS

Supporting documents (Please attach any documents to support your submission)

Thanks for the opportunity to provide feedback.

SOME OF THEIR NEIGHBOURS HAVE.

NOTE: ECAN CONSIDERING GRANTING A NEW
CONSENT AT 190 kg/ha¹ FOR MVH SCHEME.
UNLESS YOU GRANT US THE SAME THEN THIS IS
UNJUST