

## Comments

## LTP 2021-31

**Comment ID** 529

8/04/21 11:42 AM **Response Date** 

Status Processed

**Submission Type** Web

Version 0.5

First name **Frances** 

Surname Wall

**Email address** 

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 65+ years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and Yes opportunities?

Which of the proposed options would you like to Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and see us progress with? accelerating key initiatives

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

The public should be granted the opportunity to be heard, on any decision made by elected representatives or any delegated authority that has consequences for public health and the environment. ECan should prioritise and resource an urgent change to the Canterbury Land and Water Regional Plan, that actively provides for public input when processing resource consents that have consequences for public health and the environment.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land No

**Biodiversity and Biosecurity** No Yes Climate Change and Community Resilience Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Unsure Regional and Strategic Leadership Unsure Do you have any further comments on the Water and Land activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on): Water and Land portfolio comments: Why was the recent consent to MHV not notified? Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Option 1 is affordable your household? Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? Do you support the changes we're proposing to Yes how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? Do you support the rationale and proposed Yes changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula district below: Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri Would you like to see us investing in the following . On-demand public transport services initiatives in your area? Christchurch Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?

Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback?

- Environment Canterbury website
- Meeting, hui or event
- . Email

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: