

Comments

LTP 2021-31

| | |
|------------------------|------------------|
| Comment ID | 526 |
| Response Date | 8/04/21 11:42 AM |
| Status | Processed |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.13 |
| First name | Andrew |
| Surname | Wright |
| Email address | |

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 40-64 years old

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities? No

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

We as rate payers have already paid \$60m on subregional plan to conform with the EFW!! Ecan should be demanding that Central government be recognising the time and money spent already not trying to reinvent the wheel!!

Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with? Other option (please specify)

25% rate rise is an irresponsible expectation on an already stretched costs expected from Ecan compliance in Farming !! Stick to your core activities !!

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

Funding for community resilience, investing in the future ,enviro schools ,youth engagement(which the kids don't even turn up to!!!) climate change resilience all seem to have No positive or useful outcome and should be dropped or maybe scaled back significantly

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

| | |
|---|-----|
| Water and Land | Yes |
| Biodiversity and Biosecurity | Yes |
| Climate Change and Community Resilience | No |
| Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development | No |
| Regional and Strategic Leadership | No |

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on): . Water and Land

Water and Land portfolio comments:

i dont support the rehashing of all the work done in the last 10 year to align with the EFW.
the existing plan PC2 runs out in 2035 ,rate payers are owned the large investment already made by Ecan to not mess with it and risk losing Rural support

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

To have the Chair of Ecan say that the rate rise is easily affordable is very hurtful as he knows that the main rate increase burden will fall on the rural sector!! The rural sector keeps this area moving forward and we are already having to deal with so many extra cost of compliance

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? No

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? No

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

use the UAGC to fund to benefit people and not on property, increase UAGC to \$100 as the chairman says CHCH can afford increase!!!

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? No

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

No business can survive borrowing for operating cost ,Dumb idea !!!

| | |
|--|--------------------|
| Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? | No |
| Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: | Ashburton district |
| Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura | |
| Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui | |
| Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri | |
| Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch | |
| Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn | |
| Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton | Other initiative/s |
| The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue? | Yes |
| Any further comments on Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge? Yes | |
| Hinds area rate payers should decide this | |
| Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie | |
| Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru | |
| Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate | |
| Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki | |
| Do you wish to speak to your submission? | No |

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? No

How did you find out about giving feedback?

- . Word of mouth
- . Meeting, hui or event

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No