

## Comments

### LTP 2021-31

<b>Comment ID</b>	525
<b>Response Date</b>	8/04/21 11:42 AM
<b>Status</b>	Processed
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.10

**First name**

**Surname**

**Email address**

**Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?** No, I'm submitting as an individual

**Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?** No

**Which age category are you in?** -

**Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?** No

**It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?**

I cannot understand why compliant swing mooring users should be levied with an abandoned vessel level. You have advised previously that the reason the mooring fees increased previously was because of the cost of dealing with abandoned vessels but now you are saying that this is separate and not the reason for prior increases.

If ECAN wish to reduce costs let local groups access information so that neglected or abandoned boats can be dealt to early and if not dealt to levy that owner not law abiding citizens.

**Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:**

**Water and Land** No

**Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):**

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?** Neither option is affordable

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?** No

**Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?** No

**Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?** No

**Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?**

Cut your costs and businesses do eg to have two water technicians come on separate days is ridiculous.  
You currently have one checking flows and then a few days later another taking a sample

**Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?** No

**Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:** Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki**

**Do you wish to speak to your submission?** No

**Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?** Yes

**How did you find out about giving feedback?** . Word of mouth

*Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.*

**There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed:** Yes

**Tell us which information you do not want disclosed:** All