

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 514

8/04/21 5:25 AM **Response Date**

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.5

First name David

Surname Stocker

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 65+ years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and No opportunities?

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

Challengers are to draw the strengths of your Community together, to achieve better Environmental outcomes for all

Which of the proposed options would you like to Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and see us progress with?

accelerating key initiatives

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

To divide a Community will never achieve an outcome that is sustainable..

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes

Climate Change and Community Resilience Unsure

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Yes

Regional and Strategic Leadership Unsure

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Water and Land

Water and Land portfolio comments:

Guardianship of the Land is not something that is best served by trying to collectively manage, eg Govt or Ecan or any group that has no direct personal connection with it..

No

Encourage / lead / persuade /educate and acknowledge those who can and will introduce better inviromental outcomes

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?

Suggesting rate rises[as proposed,] will change outcomes ,is totally flawed and irresponsible, and challenges the creditability of our Councillors..

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Ashburton district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this

infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue?

Any further comments on Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge? Yes

Positive move bought in to by the local community and is working. This will expand to the benifit of the wider community

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Any further comments?

Consequences of inappropriate intervention by Ecan will spell disaster ,bringing unintended road blocks to environmental gains

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?

Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback?

Word of mouth

Newspaper

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: