

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 481

Response Date 7/04/21 6:20 AM

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.4

First name Graham

Surname Thomas

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 40-64 years old

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and No opportunities?

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

Pressure from central government, Ecan seems to be bending to pressure from central government on EFW plan and abandoning the 60m we as rate payers have already spent on our subregional plans that will achieve the same result. Ecan should be fighting this as we dont want to be spending another \$20million

Which of the proposed options would you like to Other option (please specify) see us progress with?

Ecan needs to do a cost benefit analysis of all its expenditure and reduce its rate rise to a level that is in lne with other business expenditure, ie under double figures

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

There should be no removal of the -plans by 2024 and Ecan need to have the confidence of the work they have done that has cost \$60m that they have already spent of our ratepayers money to convince the central government that as a sub-region we are on the right path to achieve the regional result

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes

Climate Change and Community Resilience Unsure

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Unsure

Regional and Strategic Leadership Unsure

Do you have any further comments on the Water and Land

activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please

Biodiversity and Biosecurity select all those you wish to comment on): Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development

Regional and Strategic Leadership

Water and Land portfolio comments:

As above Ecan should believe and have confidence in the work on our subregional Plan and it should not have to re do it to meet the Governments EFW Plan, cost already of \$60Million

Biodiversity and Biosecurity portfolio comments:

I support biodiversity

Regional and Strategic Leadership portfolio comments:

I do not believe this is Ecan's role, it should be under the umbrella of the MOE

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?

Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

Under your proposal our Ecan rates could rise another not \$5500pa above what we already pay. It is not feasible to to expect rate payers to absorb such increases.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

No the proposed rate increase is far to high Ecan should go back to the budget and do a cost benefit analysis and only precede with the ones showing a good return on our investment.

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

The UAGC should be charged to the people who benefit not the property so the per house rate should be increasing to at least \$120 per household

Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

If the rate rise is too high for people to accept then reduce the expenditure to match

Do you support the rationale and proposed Yes changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?

Only if the costs are removed from where they are now, and no costs are doubled up and farmers dont pay twice.

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Ashburton district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue?

res

Any further comments on Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge? Yes

MAR is already showing very positive results. It proves that regional communities can rally together to achieve good environmental outcomes. This project should be guided only by the Hinds Plains residents

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Newspaper

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: