

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	468
Response Date	7/04/21 6:20 AM
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.7
Files	
First name	Colin
Surname	Morris
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Which age category are you in?	-
Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):	
Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	-
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch	

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

How did you find out about giving feedback?

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No

From:
To:
Subject: LTP Submission Colin John Morris
Date: Monday, 5 April 2021 6:58:50 am
Attachments: [Submission to Ecan LTP.pdf](#)

Please find attached submission to the Ecan Long Term Plan.

Thanks

Colin Morris

Submission on Environment Canterbury Long Term Plan 2021 - 31

Full name: Colin John Morris

Address:

Email:

Phone:

I support the following key issues in the LTP:

Land and water

- Increased expenditure for climate change mitigation (coastal erosion, removing historic landfills and toxic contaminants adjacent to surface water bodies or above shallow aquifers, sediment control from future flooding events).
- Aligning sub regional and regional plans with the Government's proposed Essential Freshwater Reforms 2024.
- Stronger regulation to improve water quality and meet climate change targets (reduce dairy cow numbers, limit synthetic fertiliser and chemical use, no new dairy conversions, no new water extraction from over allocated catchments).
- Improve water quality to be of drinking water standard. High nitrate levels are putting public health at risk. Need to reduce nitrogen limit in freshwater to 1mg/L.
- Full protection of source water in pristine alpine rivers, lakes, and wetlands from land use intensification.
- Braided river revival.
- Stronger regulation to protect and enhance indigenous biodiversity.
- Planting of natives instead of pine forests for carbon sequestration and enhance biodiversity.
- Wilding tree and weed removal (inc. Russell lupins) and wallaby control.
- Increased compliance staff and initiatives, enforcement, and prosecution for offences.
- Full public participation in resource consent decision making.

I do not support the following proposed expenditure:

- The Uniform Annual Charge (UAC). This proposed charge is unfair on poorer people with smaller properties and should be deleted. Fees for the activities should be included in the targeted rate.
- The proposed rate rises are significant and, when added to the district council proposed rate rises, will put a huge burden on many people. Low wage earners and beneficiaries will struggle to pay this.
- Borrowing for shortfall funding as this will place an unfair burden on future generations.

- Discharges of contaminants directly to water bodies.
- Non notified consents.
- The costs of Managed Aquifer Recharge (MAR) projects. These costs should not be paid for by taxpayers or ratepayers. MAR projects are unproven, and not a substitute for strong regulatory framework to manage and control runoff from fertiliser and animal effluent.
- Further funding for Zone committees. It is time to disband zone committees, they represent vested interests and not the community.

I do not wish to be heard in support of this submission.