

## Comments

LTP 2021-31		
Comment ID	449	
Response Date	7/04/21 5:38 AM	
Status	Processed	
Submission Type	Web	
Version	0.7	
First name	Ron	
Surname	Ward	
Email address		
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual	
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes	
Which age category are you in?	65+ years old	
Which suburb or area do you live in?		
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Option 2: statutory work and prior commitments	
It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?		
I think it is really important to focus on the health of all of our waterways, including the Heathcote and Avon. Aquifer health and ensuring levels remain safe for human consumption is also vital.		
Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:		

Water and Land	Yes
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Unsure
Climate Change and Community Resilience	No
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	No

Regional and Strategic Leadership	Unsure
Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):	. Water and Land

## Water and Land portfolio comments:

As an ex farmer if farming practises needed to change and adapt to ensure the long term health of our waterways and aquifer health, then we need to get on with it and all work together to do what is needed. Both urban and rural people need to play their part and a clear way to do this needs led by the regional council so we all know our part to play.

Pollution of waterways by Canadian geese also needs consideration and how that bird population is controlled needs addressed.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Neither option is affordable your household?

## Any further comments on affordability for your household?

As retirees living off the pension and spending on healthcare being the priority the increase is far from affordable.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?	No
Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?	No
Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?	No
Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch	. Using aquifer recharge to manage freshwater quality
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn	

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate		
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki		
Do you wish to speak to your submission?	No	
Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?	Yes	
How did you find out about giving feedback?	. Postcard	
Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.		

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: