

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 447

Response Date 6/04/21 1:05 PM

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.5

First name Greg

Surname Sugrue

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 40-64 years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and Yes

opportunities?

Which of the proposed options would you like to Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and

see us progress with? accelerating key initiatives

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

A local bill banning waterbottling or allowing businesses to bottle and sell our water.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes

Climate Change and Community Resilience Yes

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Yes

Regional and Strategic Leadership Yes

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Water and Land

Water and Land portfolio comments:

Request ECan introduces a local bill to ban new water bottling consents.

Reduce contamination of our environment, especially nitrate contamination of freshwater.

Allocate more money to Chch 2 main inner -city rivers rejuvenation.

Support stronger compliance and enforcement approaches especially resourcing to monitor and enforce stormwater and wastewater consents and discharges to air near residential areas

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?

Option 1 is affordable

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a Yes whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

The Uniform Annual General Charge (UAGC) is inequitable. It should be removed, and rates should be calculated on property value alone.

Do you support the changes we're proposing to No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

Support increase in rates. Do not support increase in fixed charges per property

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Yes

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

On-demand public transport services

 Using aquifer recharge to manage freshwater quality

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Word of mouth

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

No

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: