

Comments

LTP 2021-31

| | |
|--|--|
| Comment ID | 355 |
| Response Date | 3/04/21 8:06 AM |
| Status | Processed |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.6 |
| First name | marvin |
| Surname | pangborn |
| Email address | |
| Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? | No, I'm submitting as an individual |
| Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? | Yes |
| Which age category are you in? | 65+ years old |
| Which suburb or area do you live in? | |
| Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities? | Don't know |
| Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with? | Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives |
| Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios: | |
| Biodiversity and Biosecurity | Yes |
| Climate Change and Community Resilience | Yes |
| Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development | Unsure |
| Regional and Strategic Leadership | Yes |

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

when we look at our past farm accounts, we have had only small increases in most production areas - however in the section that contains rates, insurance and accounting, the increase has been over 50% in the last few years.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? No

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Don't know

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

I support a UAGC system if the sum is levied across all properties rather than regardless of value. As I understand the increase in rates, it is to meet a re-write of a very expensive plan already prepared by Ecan and now rendered useless by central government. These plans are a of a 'general good' nature and should not be directed at one particular segment of society

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? Yes

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Don't know

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Selwyn district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn Using aquifer recharge to manage freshwater quality

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Environment Canterbury website

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No