

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 352

Response Date 3/04/21 8:06 AM

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.5

First name John

Surname Stapley

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 65+ years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and No **opportunities?**

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

Restricting your activities to those that will directly improve our environment.

Which of the proposed options would you like to Other option (please specify) see us progress with?

Statutory work only, and spread the time frame

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

I think we should remove the "Covid 19 recovery activities" which seem to be a make work scheme. It should be our Governments responsibility to fund this type if employment activity.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Unsure

Climate Change and Community Resilience Yes

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development No

Regional and Strategic Leadership Unsure

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:

The ratepayer contribution to public transport is too high. The user should pay a higher percentage.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?

Neither option is affordable

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

Your rate increases are always higher than the average wage increase. The proposal this year is ridiculous. You should keep your rate increase to 5% or less and fund the rest from borrowing.

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Don't know

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Yes

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Yes. The benefits of your environment work should be around for many years. It should mostly be funded from borrowing, so current and future generations, who will receive the benefit, should share the burden.

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Don't know

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Waimakariri district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki Do you wish to speak to your submission? No Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the Yes outcome of this consultation? How did you find out about giving feedback? **Email** Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy. There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: