

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 323

Response Date 1/04/21 9:59 AM

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.8

First name Leighton

Surname Jones

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 40-64 years old

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and No opportunities?

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

your willingness to spend a further 25m on the EFW to rewrite something we have spent 60m on our subregional water plan. Ecan need to tell the central government we have already done this and fight for the process that we have already gone through

Which of the proposed options would you like to Other option (please specify) see us progress with?

focus on its core activities because a 25% rates increase is irresponsible and shows how out of touch people supporting such an increase are with the financial realities of people and business.

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

The sub regional plans by 2024 should be removed and demand that the 60m we have spent on plans be recognised and accepted.

Scrap programs that have no tangible outcomes and let the Christchurch District council focus on the social programs such as community resilience, investing in the future, youth engagement.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes

Climate Change and Community Resilience No

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development No

Regional and Strategic Leadership No

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please

select all those you wish to comment on):

Water and Land

Regional and Strategic Leadership

Water and Land portfolio comments:

Invest and back the work that has taken place over the last 10 years in the plans that we have done.

Regional and Strategic Leadership portfolio comments:

A lot of money is being proposed in activities that Ecan has no roll in, these are other government departments responsibilities'.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Neither option is affordable **your household?**

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

The chair of ecan is on record that most of the rates burden falls on the rural rate payers so it is affordable for it urban ratepayers. This shows a complete lack of fiscal fairness.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

redo the budget until the increase is in single figures.

Do you support the changes we're proposing to No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

I support increasing the UAGC to fund project that benefit people as opposed to property

Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Are you mad??. You don't borrow for operating expenditure, capital yes. If you have to burrow for operating expenditure you need to be looking strongly at your cost.

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Don't know

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Ashburton district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [link]. Do you want to see this project continue?

Yes

Any further comments on Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge? Yes

This is a project that potentially has huge economic benefits

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?

No

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Meeting, hui or event

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

Yes

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed:

Tell us which information you do not want disclosed:

my email given out