

## Comments

### LTP 2021-31

<b>Comment ID</b>	314
<b>Response Date</b>	31/03/21 6:48 PM
<b>Status</b>	Processed
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.9
<b>First name</b>	nicky
<b>Surname</b>	morrow
<b>Email address</b>	
<b>Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?</b>	No, I'm submitting as an individual
<b>Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?</b>	Yes
<b>Which age category are you in?</b>	40-64 years old
<b>Which suburb or area do you live in?</b>	
<b>Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?</b>	No
<b>Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?</b>	Other option (please specify)

limit rate rise to 10%. reduce programmes to fit budget focus on core policy

**It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?**

should push back on to central govt to reconise the plans in place ie the 60m we have spent .drop projects that are feel good .look closely at feasability of braded river planting as likely to be along term and end up down the river. scenable flood protection. biosecurity. habitat restoration and care of land and water a apriority

**Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:**

<b>Water and Land</b>	Yes
<b>Biodiversity and Biosecurity</b>	Yes
<b>Climate Change and Community Resilience</b>	No
<b>Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development</b>	No
<b>Regional and Strategic Leadership</b>	No

**Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):**

- . Water and Land
- . Biodiversity and Biosecurity
- . Climate Change and Community Resilience
- . Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development
- . Regional and Strategic Leadership

**Water and Land portfolio comments:**

support water and land but not to redo plans already in place

**Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:**

public transport is a financial nightmare what a waste of money .urban development should be going up not out on to farm land

**Regional and Strategic Leadership portfolio comments:**

can stick to core responsibility

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?** Neither option is affordable

**Any further comments on affordability for your household?**

burden falls on rural ratepayers when we are paying extra costs in fees and charges

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?** No

**Any further comments on affordability for the community?**

produce an affordable budget that reflects a financially responsible approach

**Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?** No

**Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?**

rate payers of chch get the benefits they should pay

**Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?** No

**Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?**

if the rate rise is unaffordably large, spend less. borrowing only delays and increases costs

**Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?** No

**Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?**

the fees and chargers have to be within reason not excessive

**Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:** Ashburton district

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton**

**The Hekeao Hinds Managed Aquifer Recharge is a pilot of environmental infrastructure to address water quality. To continue enhancing this infrastructure, the project would require ongoing targeted rates from the Ashburton district. Find out more [\[link\]](#). Do you want to see this project continue?** Yes

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki**

**Do you wish to speak to your submission?** Yes

**Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?** Yes

**How did you find out about giving feedback?** . Word of mouth

*Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.*

**There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed:** No