

## Comments

### LTP 2021-31

<b>Comment ID</b>	293
<b>Response Date</b>	30/03/21 6:28 PM
<b>Status</b>	Processed
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.3

**First name**

**Surname**

**Email address**

**Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?** No, I'm submitting as an individual

**Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?** No

**Which age category are you in?** -

**Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?** Don't know

**Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):**

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?** Neither option is affordable

**Any further comments on affordability for your household?**

Curb salaries for CEO and CEO's of council owned entities. Ratepayers pay to run the city, not fund private sector salary levels

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?** No

**Any further comments on affordability for the community?**

With an ageing population (as statistically stated) rates will become unaffordable for some. Profits from the airport should be reinvested in Christchurch (ratepayer owned) instead of encroaching on another districts area and imposing ideas such as an airport in Taris that that district do not want. This sounds like someones vanity project.

**Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?** No

**Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?** No

**Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?**

Use the profits from Christchurch City Council owned entities to fund the city instead of borrowing, further adding to the burden of ratepayers

**Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?** Don't know

**Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:** Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate**



**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki**

**Any further comments?**

When will the chlorine be taken out of our water? Initially it was for six months. Years later we are still waiting for chlorine free water. Disgusting! On the subject of water, please do all you can as Christchurch Council Council to keep fluoride out of our water. The city's population are not all small children (Justification for fluoridating water and caring for children's tooth health). Fluoride is a toxic substance - Keep it out of the water!

Stop spending ratepayer funds by buying up land by stealth in the Tairāhema region and imposing the wishes of CCC on another district who do not want your airport.

Your CEO and CEO's of CCC owned entities earn more than the Prime Minister of New Zealand! That is private sector BS and shouldn't be how the majority of ratepayer monies is spent.

I think the new convention centre is a brutalist looking grey stain on the city of Christchurch. To have blocked off a street, one with a river view and beautiful vista, is criminal. Good luck to anyone coming to a convention and looking for some attractions in the city. All they will find is a work in progress cathedral in the square and another cathedral opposite Victoria square (once the Catholic cathedral has been completed). How exciting! Walk to Riverside or Little High, check out a few shops, quick tram ride, art gallery, museum and you're done. As for wondering around after dark, good luck on that score as well.

My son's has friends are having to close their shop in Cashel Mall, after believing in the Christchurch Council's promises of revitalising the area and trying to hang in their for the promised improvement. Sadly for them and some others, it's a case of too little, too late! You can't blame covid for the decline in people to the inner city. It's a fairly underwhelming space ten years after the earthquake.

Cashel Mall should remain a pedestrian mall. Having cyclists riding through the mall will not increase shoppers to the area and could even have the potential to deter shoppers. With an ageing population or for people with young children and prams, this seems counter productive to enlivening the inner city.

All efforts seem to be slanted to cyclists and getting people out of cars. I think the council have "missed the boat" on that one. Putting light rail or decent public transport systems in place when they had the chance after the earthquake would have gone a long way to achieving getting people into the city.

There isn't even facility to park your bike or car somewhere further out from the city centre and use public transport or bike into town. I have been told by older members of the family that there was bike storage under the Christchurch Railway Station so people could get the train into work/school from Lyttelton and surrounds. Why not reinstate something like this which may encourage more people on public transport/cycle ways.

I realise not all problems are solely under the council's control. Parts of the city are still beautiful. However, I have spoken to people ranging in age from their teens to pensioners and none of them seem very interested in going into the city centre very often, at this point in time.

I would like to see more of ratepayer funds being spent on the City of Christchurch and not on staff salaries and vanity projects such as airports in Tairāhema and rebranding buses. Not sure who thought a colour change would encourage more users. Pure water (or as pure as NZ can be any more) should be a priority. Stop allowing overseas water bottling companies to take the best water available in Canterbury and selling it for exorbitant profits at the expense of New Zealand.

Thanks to whoever gets to read my response.

**Do you wish to speak to your submission?** No

**Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?** Yes

**How did you find out about giving feedback?** . Other (please specify)

*Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.*

**There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed:** Yes

**Tell us which information you do not want disclosed:** All