

Comments

LTP 2021-31

| | |
|--|--|
| Comment ID | 287 |
| Response Date | 30/03/21 6:25 AM |
| Status | Processed |
| Submission Type | Web |
| Version | 0.3 |
| First name | joseph |
| Surname | zonneveld |
| Email address | |
| Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? | No, I'm submitting as an individual |
| Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? | Yes |
| Which age category are you in? | 25-39 years old |
| Which suburb or area do you live in? | |
| Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities? | Yes |
| Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with? | Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives |
| It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed? | |
| An enhanced Me uru Rākau, tree planting programme | |
| Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios: | |
| Water and Land | Yes |
| Biodiversity and Biosecurity | Yes |
| Climate Change and Community Resilience | Yes |

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Yes

Regional and Strategic Leadership Yes

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Option 1 is affordable

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? Yes

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? No

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

Rating should be relative to property values

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? Yes

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Record-low interest rates should be taken advantage of only for infrastructure investments (including native plantings!)

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Yes

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch . Other initiative/s (please specify)

Other initiative/s (please specify) Christchurch

Native restoration plantings

Protecting inanga (whitebait) in urban rivers, potentially a form of taiapure - restricted harvesting

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Any further comments?

At 40 pages the consultation document is beyond the ability of most people to peruse.
The key information could be portrayed more concisely, with shorter descriptions of partnership arrangements, visions etc.
It could be more appropriate to have a informative paragraph before each section in this questionnaire and save on excessive jargon.

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? No

How did you find out about giving feedback?

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No