

Comments

LTP 2021-31			
Comment ID	252		
Response Date	25/03/21 5:17 PM		
Status	Processed		
Submission Type	Web		
Version	0.8		
First name	Gary		
Surname	Cross		
Email address			
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual		
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes		
Which age category are you in?	40-64 years old		
Which suburb or area do you live in?			
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Yes		
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives		
Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:			
Water and Land	Yes		
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes		
Climate Change and Community Resilience	Yes		
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Yes		
Regional and Strategic Leadership	Yes		

Do you have any further comments on the		Water and Land
activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please		Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development
select all those you wish to comment on):	•	Regional and Strategic Leadership

Water and Land portfolio comments:

More monitoring and enforcement required along with education for public and particularly offenders of their responsibilities and the implications of non compliance.

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:

More Consideration required in how bus network works to enable better interchange between bus lines, more interchange nodes, for example between 140 and 3 @ Ferrymead. More stops required around periphery of CBD to improve access to all of CBD. Reinstate free city centre circular bus route. Manchester st stops need to be reconsidered.

Regional and Strategic Leadership portfolio comments:

Much more coordination required between city, regional and central government on land use, transport and sustainability. Not sure why transport plan consultation was not part of this. With city and Ecan LTTP consultation in progress at same time, message to ordinary public is confusing and uncoordinated. Consideration given to borrowing more and keeping rate rise lower, I.e. option 1 with some borrowing to keep rate rise lower. This option should have been included in consultation docs?

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for Option 1 is affordable your household?

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

See my previous comments on borrowing to do option 1 with a lower rate rise.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a Don't know whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

I can only comment from my point of view. Others may find rate rise difficult.

Would you support the use of borrowing for Yes operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

See previous comments borrowing and going forward on the basis of option 1 would be better.

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?	Yes
Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura	

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following		On-demand public transport services
initiatives in your area? Christchurch	201	Other initiative/s (please specify)

Other initiative/s (please specify) Christchurch

More feedback and milestones put in place with annual reporting on progress to public. Such as water quality what improvements have been made for the additional rates and are we meeting LTTP goals.

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? Yes

We may use your phone number to contact you to arrange attendance at a hearing. This information will be kept private.

Phone number

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the Yes outcome of this consultation?

How did you find out about giving feedback?

Environment Canterbury website

Meeting, hui or event

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in Yes my submission I do not want disclosed:

Tell us which information you do not want disclosed:

Powered by Objective Keystone - page 4