

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 231

Response Date 23/03/21 8:04 AM

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.4

First name Aimee

Surname Martin

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 25-39 years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and Yes

opportunities?

Which of the proposed options would you like to Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and

see us progress with? accelerating key initiatives

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity Yes

Climate Change and Community Resilience Yes

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development Yes

Regional and Strategic Leadership Yes

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:

Whilst I commend the proposal to invest millions in public transport through the free fares proposal, free fares is not the magic bullet. Research shows that the top two things consistently putting people off using PT are the routes and frequency, take a look at the last three years of Life in Christchurch transport survey results. Those most likely to benefit from the free fares are the people already using public transport, so please instead consider investing those millions in better connections and improving frequency if you really want to see more people using PT.

I should not have to walk for 15 minutes and then get two busses to travel the 6km from home to work in the Central City. Convenience is what needs improving, not cost.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?

Option 1 is affordable

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?

No

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Yes

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

No

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

That is essentially using the credit card to pay for the groceries, and would just be irresponsible. I would rather see you defer activities.

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Yes

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

On-demand public transport services

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki Do you wish to speak to your submission? No Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the No outcome of this consultation? How did you find out about giving feedback? **Environment Canterbury website** Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy. There is personal information/contact details in Yes my submission I do not want disclosed: Tell us which information you do not want My address... disclosed: