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First name

Surname

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?
Yes

Which age category are you in?
40-64 years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and No opportunities?

Which of the proposed options would you like to Option 2: statutory work and prior commitments see us progress with?

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

I do NOT support the ridiculous proposal of so-called "FREE" bus rides for everyone. The point is that they are NOT free, they have to be paid for, and you want ratepayers to fork out. ISTRONGLY OBJECT to being forced to subsidise people who are perfectly well able to afford to pay for their own transport. They can make the choice of paying parking charges, paying bus fares, or walking/cycling, as they desire. Do not load the cost onto everyone else.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

| Biodiversity and Biosecurity | Yes |
| :--- | :--- |
| Climate Change and Community Resilience | No |
| Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development | No |
| Regional and Strategic Leadership | Unsure |

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for
Neither option is affordable your household?

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community?

Do you support the changes we're proposing to No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Do you support the rationale and proposed No changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?
I am in favour of USER PAYS.

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

## Any further comments?

I would like to know why you made the decision to waste money on repainting all the Christchurch buses, when it was not that long ago that they were all repainted and decked out in a whole bunch of different colours. I do not feel that you try to cut your coat according to your cloth, i.e. it's just to easy to waste other people's money and then hold out the bowl for more!

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the Yes outcome of this consultation?

How did you find out about giving feedback?
Newspaper
Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in Yes my submission I do not want disclosed:

Tell us which information you do not want disclosed:

