

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	224
Response Date	22/03/21 1:31 PM
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.5
First name	Phil
Surname	Driver
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes
Which age category are you in?	65+ years old
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Yes
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Other option (please specify)

More emphasis on fixing Canterbury's overallocation and pollution of water, consistent with the 2009 public consultation (documents on ECan's website) and the 1st order priorities of the CWMS - which have been shamefully neglected while the farming sector doubled bovine urine, faeces and methane emissions (in the last 10-15 years), doubled artificial fertiliser use and extracted more water (the biggest impacts on water in the last decade), all with NO public consultation/collaboration despite the farming sector having promised in 2010 to work collaboratively with Canterbury's communities. The farming sector knowingly caused these huge negative impacts on the 1st order priorities of the CWMS and must urgently be held accountable and required (with enforcement) to fix the problems they caused and continue to cause.

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

More emphasis on fixing Canterbury's overallocation and pollution of water, consistent with the 2009 public consultation (documents on ECan's website) and the 1st order priorities of the CWMS - which have been shamefully neglected while the farming sector doubled bovine urine, faeces and methane emissions (in the last 10-15 years), doubled artificial fertiliser use and extracted more water (the biggest impacts on water in the last decade), all with NO public consultation/collaboration despite the farming sector having promised in 2010 to work collaboratively with Canterbury's communities. The farming sector knowingly caused these huge negative impacts on the 1st order priorities of the CWMS and must urgently be held accountable and required (with enforcement) to fix the problems they caused and continue to cause.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Yes
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes
Climate Change and Community Resilience	Yes
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Yes
Regional and Strategic Leadership	Yes

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on): . Water and Land

Water and Land portfolio comments:

As per answers 9 and 10

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Option 1 is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

I'd be prepared to pay more as in my view ECan's rates are lower than is required to fix the problems that have been caused. I favour substantial rates increases for those who have caused the problems (strongly 'polluter-pays') via rates or targeted charges.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? Yes

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

We can't afford to NOT fix the problems that have been caused. The proposed rates increases are trivial compared to the damage that has been caused in the last 10-15 years to the first order priorities in the CWMS

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Yes

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

Increase it to help fund the restoration of our environment but also have a much larger increase on targeted rates and fees on those who have caused the problems.

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? No

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Only borrow for capital investments

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Yes

Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?

Polluters and resource-users should pay up to cover the costs of restoring what they have damaged

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Timaru district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru . Other initiative/s

Should we continue investing in MyWay by Metro, Timaru's on-demand public transport service, after the trial period is completed? Don't know

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Any further comments?

Please be bold in reversing the enormous amount of environmental damage that has been done in Canterbury over the last few decades. In doing so please make the polluters/resource-users pay for the damage THEY caused and don't off-load the costs on to others. The social, environmental and cultural costs of the damage far outweigh the benefits to Canterbury's communities as they economic benefits have been captured (privatised) by few people with the costs socialised so that everyone else pays the costs.

Do you wish to speak to your submission? Yes

We may use your phone number to contact you to arrange attendance at a hearing. This information will be kept private.

Phone number

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback?

- . Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Twitter)
- . Environment Canterbury website
- . Email

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No