

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	223
Response Date	22/03/21 1:31 PM
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.6
First name	Jarrold
Surname	Coburn
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	No
Which age category are you in?	-
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Don't know
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Other option (please specify)

Your consultation document is too hard for me to understand to give you this feedback.

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

Public transport. You mention it 33 times in the document but don't actually provide any suggested solutions. What happened to the idea of free-to-user public transport funded through rates?

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Unsure
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Unsure

Climate Change and Community Resilience	Unsure
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Unsure
Regional and Strategic Leadership	Unsure

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Option 1 is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

Both are affordable but I could only check one box.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? Don't know

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

Have you done economic impact studies on the affordability of rates increases for Canterbury as a whole? If so, where are they? And if you have done them already what would be the point in asking my opinion?

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Don't know

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

I don't have enough information.

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Now is the time to borrow, with interest rates so low. But the money needs to be invested sustainably in the Region.

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Don't know

Any further comments on the Fees and Charges Policy?

The information provided was confusing to me.

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch . On-demand public transport services

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Any further comments?

I'm not sure, besides a statutory requirement, what the benefit of this process is. The information you provide is written in a very complex way that even someone like me (with a Masters degree) finds challenging to understand. There are so many other documents that are referred to that I'm not sure anyone has the actual time to read through all of this - certainly not to the point where one can give an honest, informed commentary. You have paid lip-service to the climate emergency that you declared two years ago, there is almost nothing in the consultation document that addresses that (What is "climate change resilience"? Is that even a thing? Why wasn't it defined in your document?) I hope this feedback is useful, I honestly feel that more people would participate in local government if there was better accessibility and less technocracy.

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? No

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Other (please specify)

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No