

## Comments

### LTP 2021-31

<b>Comment ID</b>	222
<b>Response Date</b>	22/03/21 1:31 PM
<b>Status</b>	Processed
<b>Submission Type</b>	Web
<b>Version</b>	0.4
<b>First name</b>	Ruth
<b>Surname</b>	Littlewood
<b>Email address</b>	
<b>Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?</b>	No, I'm submitting as an individual
<b>Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?</b>	Yes
<b>Which age category are you in?</b>	40-64 years old
<b>Which suburb or area do you live in?</b>	
<b>Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?</b>	Yes
<b>Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?</b>	Option 1: statutory work, prior commitments and accelerating key initiatives
<b>Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:</b>	
<b>Water and Land</b>	Yes
<b>Biodiversity and Biosecurity</b>	Yes
<b>Climate Change and Community Resilience</b>	Yes
<b>Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development</b>	Yes
<b>Regional and Strategic Leadership</b>	Unsure

**Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):**

	.	Water and Land
	.	Biodiversity and Biosecurity

**Water and Land portfolio comments:**

I think that ECan's compliance activities should be given much higher priority than currently. It is really important that non-compliance results in a penalty of some kind; if there is no penalty the miscreants are effectively rewarded for their bad behaviour, while every-one else and the environment pays.

**Biodiversity and Biosecurity portfolio comments:**

I think that ECan's compliance activities should be given much higher priority than currently. It is really important that non-compliance results in a penalty of some kind; if there is no penalty the miscreants are effectively rewarded for their bad behaviour, while every-one else and the environment pays.

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?**

Option 1 is affordable

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?**

Yes

**Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?**

Yes

**Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?**

Don't know

**Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?**

Don't know

**Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:**

Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate**

**Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki**

**Do you wish to speak to your submission?** No

**Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?** Yes

**How did you find out about giving feedback?**

- . Environment Canterbury website
- . Word of mouth
- . Newspaper

*Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.*

**There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed:** No