

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	193
Response Date	19/03/21 7:09 AM
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.3
First name	Richard
Surname	Heal
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes
Which age category are you in?	65+ years old
Which suburb or area do you live in?	
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	No

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

As a rate payer I would like to ask ECan to make public their reasons as to why they need to fund the proposed LTP from a massive rate increase rather than by borrowing and spreading the cost over a number of years. This huge rise is unfair to the present rate payers when the benefits will be enjoyed by those in years to come.

ECan relies on ratepayers who are entitled to know how and why their rates are spent.

I am not against any of the proposals but there should be transparency as to how these proposals are funded.

Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with? Other option (please specify)

See above

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

See above

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Yes
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes
Climate Change and Community Resilience	Yes
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Yes
Regional and Strategic Leadership	Unsure

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

See above

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? No

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

See above

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Don't know

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? Yes

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Why are you not proposing to borrow ?

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Don't know

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Waimakariri district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation? Yes

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Newspaper

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No