

## Comments

### LTP 2021-31

|                 |                  |
|-----------------|------------------|
| Comment ID      | 122              |
| Response Date   | 15/03/21 7:04 AM |
| Status          | Processed        |
| Submission Type | Web              |
| Version         | 0.10             |

First name

Surname

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? Yes

Which age category are you in? 25-39 years old

Which suburb or area do you live in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities? Yes

Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with? Other option (please specify)

Prior commitments as well as increased commitment to climate change, less statutory work

**It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?**

I would like to keep freshwater cleaning options, community engagement and support around public transport. I believe protection from flooding and planting more trees can be combined as the prevention from flooding can be achieved by correct choice of plants,  
The money spent on weed spray/contractors doing this job could be transferred to Kaitianga of the land. Include more community groups/interested individuals/community gardens in this. Spraying against „weeds“ takes time, costs further funding, works against nature and could be prevented by

utilising these weeds. Instead make use of foragers and people who know the weeds to extend knowledge within the community, open new food options (which will decrease the amount of obesity and make nutritious food more accessible for lower income families) and improve soil structure. The public traffic system in Christchurch is unacceptable. It is too expensive and not attractive due to the limited amount of buses and direction it goes to and the extensive time between busses. It may be an option to work with businesses instead to make cycling more attractive. In several other countries employers pay the employees a bonus if they cycle to work. Instead of changing the bus system which would cost an extensive amount support employers to make small changes.

**Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:**

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| <b>Water and Land</b>                               | Yes |
| <b>Biodiversity and Biosecurity</b>                 | Yes |
| <b>Climate Change and Community Resilience</b>      | Yes |
| <b>Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development</b> | Yes |
| <b>Regional and Strategic Leadership</b>            | No  |

**Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):**

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?** Neither option is affordable

**Any further comments on affordability for your household?**

This is not in particular my own household, However I am working with lower social economic people. I have heard the fear of many who are worried they will not be able to continue to afford their home with the expected increases. If people already have a house which they own (which very few do due to the tremendous increase in houseprices over the last year) money is tight and there is no money left after paying bills. People should not have to choose between paying bills and providing the basics for their families.

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community?** No

**Any further comments on affordability for the community?**

See as abovr

**Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?** No

**Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?** No

**Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?**

There will be extensive future costs arising with climate change continuing. Borrowing will increase the burden for future years to come.

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?</b>  | No  |
| <b>Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:</b>   | Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula         |
| <b>Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura</b>   |   |
| <b>Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui</b>  |   |
| <b>Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri</b>  |   |
| <b>Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch</b>   | Using aquifer recharge to manage freshwater quality |
| <b>Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn</b>   |   |
| <b>Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton</b>  |   |
| <b>Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie</b>  |   |
| <b>Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru</b>   |   |
| <b>Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate</b>  |   |
| <b>Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki</b>  |   |
| <b>Do you wish to speak to your submission?</b>   | No  |
| <b>Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?</b>  | Yes   |
| <b>How did you find out about giving feedback?</b>  | Postcard  |
| <i>Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.</i> |   |
| <b>There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed:</b>  | Yes   |

**Tell us which information you do not want disclosed:**

Name