

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID	79
Response Date	11/03/21 8:52 PM
Status	Processed
Submission Type	Web
Version	0.7
First name	Rob
Surname	Craigie
Email address	
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes
Which age category are you in?	40-64 years old
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Yes
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Other option (please specify)

Please keep the rates increase to the inflation rate.

It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?

I think the percentage expenditure on each category is ok. If that won't work because of legal requirements remove some of the nice to have spending but not essential.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land	Yes
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes

Climate Change and Community Resilience	Yes
Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development	Yes
Regional and Strategic Leadership	Yes

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on): . Water and Land

Water and Land portfolio comments:

I would have thought the mechanisms for meeting the more stringent fresh water requirements are in place e.g. FEP, Overseer etc. I don't understand why it should cost more to regulate and monitor farms. Whether it is achievable without bankrupting many farmers I don't know.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household? Neither option is affordable

Any further comments on affordability for your household?

It is outrageous that you think a rates increase of 10x the inflation rate is affordable. Imagine if power, phone, insurance all went up by 20%.

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a whole for the Canterbury community? No

Do you support the changes we're proposing to how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges? Don't know

Would you support the use of borrowing for operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates? No

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

Borrowing just delays the burden. It is not a solution.

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy? Don't know

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below: Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki

Do you wish to speak to your submission? No

How did you find out about giving feedback? . Newspaper

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in my submission I do not want disclosed: No