

Comments

LTP 2021-31

Comment ID 14

Response Date 10/03/21 10:25 PM

Status Processed

Submission Type Web

Version 0.7

First name Gareth

Surname Fitch

Email address

Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation? No, I'm submitting as an individual

Are you willing to tell us more about yourself? No

Which age category are you in?

Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and No **opportunities?**

What do you feel are the significant challenges and opportunities we face?

Improving affordability of rates; Cutting red tapes and bureaucracy at the local government level; Lack of accountability for failures by the Council.

Which of the proposed options would you like to Other option (please specify) see us progress with?

Status quo or do less so that there is no additional financial burden on rate payers.

Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:

Water and Land Yes

Biodiversity and Biosecurity No

Climate Change and Community Resilience No

Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development No

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please select all those you wish to comment on):

Regional and Strategic Leadership

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for your household?

Neither option is affordable

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community?

Any further comments on affordability for the community?

Rates should only be adjusted based on CPI and average wage increase. Those special interest groups who expect the council to do more should pay for the services themselves rather than expecting everyone else to share the cost

No

Do you support the changes we're proposing to No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

Activities such as Recreational boating safety only benefit specific groups of the community and should not be UAGC.

Increases in Council meetings, Ratepayer servicing, Councillors' remuneration, mileage and expenses are unacceptable as they are already well paid for what they do.

Youth engagement and education is a waste of tax payer money which generate no real benefit.

Would you support the use of borrowing for No operating expenditure to offset some of the first year rates?

Any further comments on the use of borrowing for operating expenditure?

If you cannot afford something, don't do it.

Do you support the rationale and proposed changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

No

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:

Selwyn district

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui

Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki Do you wish to speak to your submission? No Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the Yes outcome of this consultation? How did you find out about giving feedback? Newspaper Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy. There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed:

Powered by Objective Keystone - page 3