

# Comments

Climate Change and Community Resilience

LTP 2021-31				
Comment ID	6			
Response Date	10/03/21 10:25 PM			
Status	Processed			
Submission Type	Web			
Version	0.8			
First name	Elizabeth			
Surname	Ackermann			
Email address				
Are you submitting on behalf of an organisation?	No, I'm submitting as an individual			
Are you willing to tell us more about yourself?	Yes			
Which age category are you in?	40-64 years old			
Which suburb or area do you live in?				
Do you think we've prioritised the right issues and opportunities?	Don't know			
Which of the proposed options would you like to see us progress with?	Option 2: statutory work and prior commitments			
It is important that we hear what you would like to keep in the plan, what you think should be removed, and anything that you think we have missed?				
More spending on your busses and the ridiculous rate increase proposed, even opposed by CCC.				
Do you generally support the activities proposed in the following portfolios:				
Water and Land	Yes			
Biodiversity and Biosecurity	Yes			

Yes

<b>Air Quality</b>	, Transport a	nd Urban Develop	ment No
--------------------	---------------	------------------	---------

Regional and Strategic Leadership No

Do you have any further comments on the activities proposed in specific portfolio/s (please	•	Water and Land Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development
select all those you wish to comment on):		Regional and Strategic Leadership

## Water and Land portfolio comments:

Clean water is great but the reality is that there are no real improvements or punishments for those who polute the water. Also the fact that ECAN grants licence to bottling factories to remove water but propose to charge rate papes for water usage.

The fact that our water is now chlorinated with no end in sight show the very poor management of our water resources by ECAN

## Air Quality, Transport and Urban Development portfolio comments:

Transport: busses are under utilzed since the earthquakes (due to your route chanegs, cost increase etc) and spending more into this will not make or encourage people to use these buses more.

## Regional and Strategic Leadership portfolio comments:

The public don't trust ECAN at all with the guardianship of our environment so also not with the rate money we pay. Most perceive its going to big salaries and projects not real improvements. I have a property in New Brighton close to the river and in the years since 2011 havent seen anything done re flood protection although a lot of talk.

**Is the proposed increase in rates affordable for** Neither option is affordable **your household?** 

Is the proposed increase in rates affordable as a No whole for the Canterbury community?

## Any further comments on affordability for the community?

24% will push most house hold budgets over the edge even if paid monthly by debit order like I do.

**Do you support the changes we're proposing to** No how we apply Uniform Annual General Charges?

#### Any further comments on Uniform Annual General Charges?

It is just too high and I don't trust this is going to they "key" projects, current commitments etc. Probably to painting and beautifying more of your buses and CEO salaries

Would you support the use of borrowing for	No
operating expenditure to offset some of the first	
year rates?	

**Do you support the rationale and proposed** No changes in the draft Fees and Charges Policy?

Where do you live in Canterbury? Select your district below:	Christchurch city including Banks Peninsula
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Kaikoura	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Hurunui	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimakariri	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Christchurch	. Other initiative/s (please specify)
Other initiative/s (please specify) Christchurch	
Flood protection and restoring the quality of wat in NZ and if you fix the well heads probably not	er to pre chlorination. Aquifer recharge is not common needed
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Selwyn	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Ashburton	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Mackenzie	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Timaru	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waimate	
Would you like to see us investing in the following initiatives in your area? Waitaki	
Any further comments?	
-	se. You need to cut costs in other areas and just e rate payers to make up the difference.
Do you wish to speak to your submission?	No
Would you like to be kept up-to-date with the outcome of this consultation?	Yes
How did you find out about giving feedback?	<ul><li>Social media (Facebook, Instagram, Twitter)</li><li>Other (please specify)</li></ul>

Your information is held and administered by Environment Canterbury in accordance with the Privacy Act 2020 and Environment Canterbury's Privacy Policy.

There is personal information/contact details in No my submission I do not want disclosed: