

FORM520: SUBMISSION ON RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATIONS

SECTION 96 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT (RMA) 1991

TO: Consents Hearings
Environment Canterbury
PO Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Ph: (03) 353 9007 Fax: (03) 365 3194

OR: Email: hearings@ecan.govt.nz

OR: submit by completing an on-line form at:
<https://www.ecan.govt.nz/do-it-online/resource-consents/notifications-and-submissions/notified-consents/>

Copy to
1. Taggart Earthmoving Ltd
CAH. Mike Durand
2. Self for own files

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE: 5.00pm FRIDAY 27 NOVEMBER 2020

A. PERSON/GROUP/ORGANISATION MAKING SUBMISSION

Full name of submitter:

Hodgson, Keith and Joy

Postal address for
Service:

Postcode:

Contact Phone:

Private:

Work:

Cell:

Email Address:

Contact Person:

Joy

Information about this resource consent process, including any details relating to a hearing will be sent via email

☐ - Please tick this box if you do not wish to receive communications via email.

Name of applicant:

TAGGART EARTHMOVING LIMITED

Site Address:

RANGIORA RACECOURSE, 309 WEST BELT, RANGIORA

1. Applications to: Canterbury Regional Council

☒ CRC204106 – land use to excavate

☒ CRC204107 discharge contaminants to air

☒ CRC204143 - discharge contaminants to land

☒ CRC211629 – water permit

☐ I/We support the above application

☒ I/We oppose the above application

☐ I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose)

2. Applications to: Waimakariri District Council

☒ RC205104 – land use to establish, maintain and operate an aggregate quarry

☐ I/We support the above application

☒ I/We oppose the above application

☐ I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose)

EC - CHCH	
FILE REF:	
DOCUMENT No.:	
23 NOV 2020	
	ACTION
	INFO



3. The specific parts of the application that my/our submission relates to are: (detail the specific activities or effects)

Dust contamination (i.e. silica), water quality, water levels, excavation and heavy traffic noise.

4. The reasons for making my/our submission are: (state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)

- (a) We consider that the health and well-being of children & older residents would be seriously affected by silicone dust over the nor-west prone Rangiora area.
- (b) The proposed quarry is ⁱⁿ a Community Drinking Water Protection Zone, so highly inappropriate. Information from ground water hydrologists states that ground water levels are at 3.5m below ground. Taggart Earthmoving Ltd state they will excavate to 5m below ground level. Rangiora's water quality would be affected.
- (c) River Road at the back of the racecourse was recently upgraded to keep heavy trucks from using West Belt, Rangiora. According to information from Waimakariri District Council dated 27/7/2020 about the initial application, 9600 Taggart Earthmoving Ltd trucks a year plan to use this Road, questioning design capability. (See attachment) 1*
5. We wish the consent authority to make the following decision: (give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought.)

We request the Consent Authority of Environment Canterbury reject the application for —.

CRC 204106, CRC 204107, CRC 204143,
CRC 211629

RC 205104

Attachment 1

Further to - :

4. "The reasons for making our submission"

(d.) Continual traffic noise on River Road, Rangiora even here at Huntington Drive, will be unbearable. 9600 vehicles extra a year! Return loads!

(e) 10 years ago, after losing our home in Hurapoi North Canterbury due to the 2010 earthquake, we came to Rangiora for peace and quiet (we had lived overlooking a farm paddock). We have so far achieved this as we back on to Arlington Reserve.

Horrors! We have been through enough disruption! We are 81 & 82 years of age respectively.

(f) If this proposal goes ahead, it will degrade Rangiora from what it is in that proposed residents will not want to bring their families to the area, thus affecting property values.

6. ☐ I/we do wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*

(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing ☐ Yes ☐ No

- ☒ I/we do not wish to be heard in support of my/our submission

(Note: this means you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Councils.)

7. ☐ I/we am/are a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I/We am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

8. ☒ I/we request, pursuant to section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, that you delegate your functions, powers and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Signature

Date

20/11/2020

Notes to the submitter:

1. The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury
2. A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant
3. Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.
4. If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.
5. If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.
6. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - a. it is frivolous or vexatious;
 - b. it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
 - c. it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
 - d. it contains offensive language;
 - e. it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

