

FORM520: SUBMISSION ON RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATIONS

SECTION 96 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT (RMA) 1991

TO: Consents Hearings
Environment Canterbury
PO Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Ph: (03) 353 9007 Fax: (03) 365 3194

OR: Email: hearings@ecan.govt.nz

OR: submit by completing an on-line form at:
<https://www.ecan.govt.nz/do-it-online/resource-consents/notifications-and-submissions/notified-consents/>.

CUSTOMER SERVICES		
EC - CHCH		
FILE REF:		
DOCUMENT No.:	ACTION	INFO
27 NOV 2020		
TIME RECEIVED:		

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE: 5.00pm FRIDAY 27 NOVEMBER 2020

A. PERSON/GROUP/ORGANISATION MAKING SUBMISSION

Full name of submitter:

Date end Jacky May

Postal address for
Service:

Postcode:

Contact Phone:

Private:

Work:

Cell:

Email Address:

Contact Person:

Date may

Information about this resource consent process, including any details relating to a hearing will be sent via email

☐: - Please tick this box if you do not wish to receive communications via email.

Name of applicant:

TAGGART EARTHMOVING LIMITED

Site Address:

RANGIORA RACECOURSE, 309 WEST BELT, RANGIORA

1.Applications to: Canterbury Regional Council

- ☒ CRC204106 – land use to excavate
- ☐ CRC204143 - discharge contaminants to land
- ☐ I/We support the above application
- ☐ I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose)
- ☒ CRC204107 discharge contaminants to air
- ☐ CRC211629 – water permit
- ☒ I/We oppose the above application

2. Applications to: Waimakariri District Council

- ☒ RC205104 – land use to establish, maintain and operate an aggregate quarry
- ☐ I/We support the above application ☒ I/We oppose the above application
- ☐ I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose)

3. The specific parts of the application that my/our submission relates to are: (detail the specific activities or effects)

CRC204106 e CRC204107 e RC 205104
Discharge of dust & noise for 15 years! WDC currently has this area zoned rural. The area is currently operated as a race course & market on Sundays. Both activities involve introducing public to the area. There is no - 'evidence or, guarantee it can operate as both & give effective protection to the neighbouring properties or public visiting area.

4. The reasons for making my/our submission are: (state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)

There is minimal to no effective protection for the existing properties on the three boundaries, over 15 years, we are located to the west of the proposed quarry (315 Lehmanns Rd). The modeling information used is questionable, we live & work from our property (20 years) if we can hear horses coming around the track in the north east wind, then excavators & machinery, 6 days a week over 15 years will be an issue for our health & well being. We were also told we would not hear the Sunday market, which is incorrect and having an effect on us.

5. I/we wish the consent authority to make the following decision: (give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought.)

Decline the application. Not granted at all.
Full protection and Screening needs to be implemented to the existing residents (15 years of dust and noise)
As pointed out in the RMA quality planning and resource document initiated by the aggregate and quarry association in partnership with ministry for environment and local govt.
"It is important to acknowledge that conditions will change over time (ie: urban growth) and this can also change the viability of the resource". The town is already on the boundary of the race course, please decline placing the proposed quarry adjacent to the town.

6. ☐ I/we **do** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*

(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing ☐ Yes ☐ No

- ☒ I/we **do not** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission

(Note: this means you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Councils.)

7. ☐ I/we **am/are** a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I/We am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that a) adversely affects the environment; and

b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

8. I/we **request**, pursuant to section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, that you delegate your functions, powers and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Signature

Date

25-11-20

Notes to the submitter:

1. *The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury*
2. *A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant*
3. *Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.*
4. *If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.*
5. *If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.*
6. *Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):*
 - a. *it is frivolous or vexatious;*
 - b. *it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;*
 - c. *it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;*
 - d. *it contains offensive language;*
 - e. *it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*