



CUSTOMER SERVICES		
EC - CHOR		
FILE REF:		
DOCUMENT No.:	ACTION	INFO
27 NOV 2020		
TIME RECEIVED:		

☐ IAA/ are neutral to this application (neither support or oppose)

3. The specific parts of the application that my/~~our~~ submission relates to are: (detail the specific activities or effects)
CRC 204106, CRC 204107, CRC 204143, CRC 211629, RC 205104.

- 1) Increase in truck movements especially along Lehmanns Road, Rangiora
- 2) Dust and noise from the quarry site
- 3) The location of the proposed quarry
- A) The effects on surrounding pre-existing homes & businesses
- S) Property values will be impacted
- 6) Use of water for dust control

4. The reasons for making my/~~our~~ submission are: (state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)

- 1) Increased truck movements means an unacceptable level of noise, dust & vibration. The application for 250 movements daily would seriously affect our quality of life & mental health. The narrow bridge by the PVC is unsafe now when trucks, cars, bikes, horse floats etc pass over it.
- 2) We are 450 metres away from where the proposed quarry will be. We will be open to all the noxious effects as there are no bunds or dust control that will protect us on our boundary. The water tankers will struggle during the easterly winds. I watched 3 horses & pulkies training on the track recently with the water tanker working - dust everywhere still. This activity is "short-lived", not all day, 6 days a week for 15 years!!

5. I/we wish the consent authority to make the following decision: (give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought.)

Decline all Taggart's applications for the proposed quarry.

see attached
paper for
3-6.

6. ☐ I/we **do** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*

(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing ☐ Yes ☐ No

- ☒ I/we **do not** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission

(Note: this means you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Councils.)

7. ☐ I/we **am/are** a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I/We am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that a) adversely affects the environment; and

b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

8. I/we **request**, pursuant to section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, that you delegate your functions, powers and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Signature

Date

25/11/20

Notes to the submitter:

1. *The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury*
2. *A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant*
3. *Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.*
4. *If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.*
5. *If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.*
6. *Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):*
 - a. *it is frivolous or vexatious;*
 - b. *it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;*
 - c. *it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;*
 - d. *it contains offensive language;*
 - e. *it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*