



<b>CUSTOMER SERVICES</b>					
<b>EC - CHCH</b>					
FILE REF:					
DOCUMENT No.: _____				ACTION	INFO
<b>27 NOV 2020</b>					
TIME RECEIVED:					

- ☐ I/We support the above application ☒ I/We oppose the above application
- ☐ I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose)

3. The specific parts of the application that my/our submission relates to are: (detail the specific activities or effects)

- Health effects on people of dust, polluted water and noise from machinery and truck movements.
- Effects of dust on people, plants, housing and air quality - particularly those with existing medical conditions.
- Effects on water quality - especially drinking water - through pollution of local aquifers and streams.
- Effects of noise on people's health and wellbeing - including mental health and loss of amenity values in what is a relatively peaceful environment. Traffic movements disturb residents and affect existing busy traffic movements.
- Internationally quarries are designated Noxious Industries and are kept away from people's homes.

4. The reasons for making my/our submission are: (state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)

- It is a basic human right to have an environment which provides fresh air, clean water and a peaceful, quiet area with minimal noise and disruption.
- This applies not only to residents but also the hospital, numerous rest homes, adjacent schools and pre-schools. People will stop attending these vital facilities.
- Mitigation proposed simply does not work. The only acceptable form is to move quarries well away from populated areas. This is practiced internationally. The existing levels used in assessing quarries in N.Z. are far too high. Internationally they are much much lower and achievable by keeping dangerous quarries well away.
- Water and air are life forces - we should protect them.

5. I/we wish the consent authority to make the following decision: (give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought.)

REJECT Taggart's proposal completely. The hazards that Quarries simply cannot be mitigated and subsequently monitored, no matter what Taggart's say. This is recognised now in Auckland.

Follow the science provided by our International experts living here and supported by them.



6. ☒ I/we **do** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission\*

(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

\*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing ☐ Yes ☐ No

- ☐ I/we **do not** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission

(Note: this means you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Councils.)

7. ☐ I/we **am/are** a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I/We am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that a) adversely affects the environment; and  
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

8. I/we **request**, pursuant to section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, that you delegate your functions, powers and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Signature

22. 11. 2020

Date

Notes to the submitter:

1. *The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury*
2. *A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant*
3. *Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.*
4. *If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.*
5. *If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.*
6. *Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):*
  - a. *it is frivolous or vexatious;*
  - b. *it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;*
  - c. *it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;*
  - d. *it contains offensive language;*
  - e. *it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*