



SECTION 96 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT (RMA) 1991

OR: submit by completing an on-line form at:
<https://www.ecan.govt.nz/do-it-online/resource-consents/notifications-and-submissions/notified-consents/>

SENT		CUSTOMER SERVICES	
		EC - CHCH	
FILE REF:			
DOCUMENT No.:			
27 NOV 2020		ACTION	INFO
TIME RECEIVED:			

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE: 5.00pm FRIDAY 27 NOVEMBER 2020

☐: - Please tick this box if you do not wish to receive communications via email.

RANGIORA RACECOURSE, 309 WEST BELT, RANGIORA

☐ I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose)

☐ I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose)

3. The specific parts of the application that my/our submission relates to are: (detail the specific activities or effects)

NOISE

DUST

4. The reasons for making my/our submission are: (state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)

NOISE - FILLING OF TRUCKS WITH DIGGER & THEN STOCKPILING THEN LOADING TRUCKS TO SEND BACK TO TAGGARTS. NOISE FROM THESE EXERCISE CAN BE LOUD.

DUST - AIR QUALITY IS THE REASON WE BUILT HERE. IF WE KNEW THAT A QUARRY WAS OVER THE BACK FENCE WE WOULD HAVE GONE ELSEWHERE. I PRESUME THE COUNCIL IS GIVING A DISCOUNT ON OUR RATES BECAUSE OUR SV IS PROBABLY GOING DOWN BECAUSE OF THIS.

5. I/we wish the consent authority to make the following decision: (give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought.)

NOT GIVE TAGGARTS EARTHMOVING LTD A RECOURSE CONCENT.

6. ☐ I/we do wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*

(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing ☐ Yes ☐ No

- ☒ I/we do not wish to be heard in support of my/our submission

(Note: this means you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Councils.)

7. ☐ I/we am/are a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I/we am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

8. I/we request, pursuant to section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, that you delegate your functions, powers and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. ☐ Yes ☒ No

Signature

Date

23rd Nov 2020

Notes to the submitter:

1. The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury
2. A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant
3. Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.
4. If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.
5. If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.
6. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - a. it is frivolous or vexatious;
 - b. it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
 - c. it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
 - d. it contains offensive language;
 - e. it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.