



FORM520: SUBMISSION ON RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATIONS ISTOMER SERVICES EC - CHON SECTION 96 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT (RMA) 1991 FILE REF DE OUT ENT NO .: TO: **Consents Hearings Environment Canterbury** 2 7 NOV 2020 PO Box 345 Christchurch 8140 Ph: (03) 353 9007 Fax: (03) 365 3194 OR: Email: hearings@ecan.govt.nz OR: submit by completing an on-line form at: https://www.ecan.govt.nz/do-it-online/resource-consents/notifications-and-submissions/notified-consents/. SUBMISSIONS CLOSE: 5.00pm FRIDAY 27 NOVEMBER 2020 A. PERSON/GROUP/ORGANISATION MAKING SUBMISSION Deborah Williams + Patricia Williams Full name of submitter: Postal address for Postcode: Service: Contact Phone: Work: Cell: Private: Email Address: Joh Williams Contact Person: Information about this resource consent process, including any details relating to a hearing will be sent via email ☑: - Please tick this box if you do not wish to receive communications via email. TAGGART EARTHMOVING LIMITED Name of applicant: Site Address: RANGIORA RACECOURSE, 309 WEST BELT, RANGIORA 1. Applications to: Canterbury Regional Council ☐ CRC204106 – land use to excavate CRC204107 discharge contaminants to air ☐ CRC204143 - discharge contaminants to land ☐ CRC211629 – water permit ☐ I/We support the above application I ✓ I (We) oppose the above application ☐ I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose) 2. Applications to: Waimakariri District Council RC205104 – land use to establish, maintain and operate an aggregate quarry ☐ I/We support the above application We oppose the above application

☐ I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose)

3. The specific parts of the application that my/our submission relates to are: (detail the specific activities or effects)
In regard to the proposal by Taggarts to quarry shangle from the Rangiora Racecourse, we strongly oppose this for Many reasons.
Primarily the risk to our health + that of our local common from the dust contaminents, specifically Rics a known
CATCH ICC SUBSTAL TO
We have recently bought into this area, future proofing for our vehrencent years, with a focus on enjoying the healthy semi-rure environment the suggestion of a quarry in our back yard is abhorrent Invagine our shock when this proposal was recently and
abhorrent Invagine our shock when this proposal was recently mad Public. Cycling, walking + working in our dardon is inherrant to our well being. 4. The reasons for making my/our submission are: (state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)
As an Asthmatic I am very concerned for my respiratory health.
The risk of the tiny contaminated dust particles, less than
lopm, is significant for us, as we are directly in the
North West wind line from the proposed quarry site.
Kecently there have been many days of strong I vor
Westers.
We don't believe that the proposed dust mitigation +
bunds will prevent the spread of dust, as Taggarts current processing site on Cones Road is a
current processing site on lones Road is a
regular source of dust.
 I/we wish the consent authority to make the following decision: (give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought.)
We strongly wish that the consenting authority
We strongly wish that the consenting authority decline all of the Taggarts notified consents.
WERE THE SHOP AND A STREET AND
The transfer of the second of
Market Commence and Commence of the Commence o

6.	☐ I/we do wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*
	(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)
	*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing \square Yes \square No
7	
-	I/we do not wish to be heard in support of my/our submission
	(Note: this means you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Cour on any decision made by the Councils.)
7.	I/we am/are a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991 I/We am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that a) adversely affects the environment; and b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.
8.	I/we request, pursuant to section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, that you delegate your
	functions, powers and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who
	are not members of the local authority. Yes No
	The net members of the local authority. El 100/25 No
	24. 11. 2020 Date 24. 11. 2020
Sia	naturer Date
	24.4.2020
	·

Notes to the submitter:

- 1. The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury
- 2. A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant
- 3. Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.
- 4. If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.
- 5. If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.
- 6. Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):
 - a. it is frivolous or vexatious:
 - b. it discloses no reasonable or relevant case:
 - c. it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further:
 - d. it contains offensive language:
 - e. it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.