

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc:
Subject: CM: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 592
Date: Tuesday, 10 November 2020 10:53:25 AM
Attachments: [Submission-to-Ecan-re-Taggarts2.docx](#)

Group ID: 592

Consent name: Taggart Earthmoving Limited

Consent number: CRC204106, CRC204107, CRC204143, CRC211629, RC205104

Name: Ivan Leslie & Adrienne Wolfe

Care of:

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone

Email:

Contact by email: No

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: No

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC204106: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC204107: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC204143: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC211629: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC205104: oppose | NOT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:
(nothing entered)

Consent comment:

(nothing entered)

Adrienne and Ivan Wolfe

10th November 2020-11-10

Dear Sir/Madam,

SUBMISSION RE RESOURCE APPLICATIONS CRC204106 AND CRC204107
TAGGART EARTHMOVING LIMITED.

We would oppose this application on the grounds:

- a) We object to this being removed to their River Rd, Cones Rd site as this would create increased traffic, noise and dust along what is now an R2 built up area.
- b) We also believe that it would be in contravention of a legal agreement between Taggarts, WDC and River Road residents which was agreed to 6/11/1990; decision No: C1/91, in the chambers of His Honour Judge Skelton 16/1/1991.
 - This limits the hours of work to 7.30am. to 6.00pm Monday to Friday and 8am to 1pm Saturdays. Their application for consent appears to be outside these hours
 - Condition No.4 of the existing consent limits extraction of river metal, crushing and ex yard sales on this site shall not exceed 100,000 cubic metres per annum. We believe they have reached this limit. The consent they have applied for would increase this by at least 40,000 cubic metres per year.

Their application for consent to process this extra volume on the River Road site is in direct contravention to the existing legally binding document covering their site on River Road/Cones Road.

The agreement to allow Taggarts to remain on this site was partly because Environment Canterbury required them to extract shingle from the riverbed above the road and rail bridges as protection against a build up of shingle and to reduce flooding pressure. By removing shingle from the racecourse site does not constitute flood protection and it would therefore seem unnecessary.

A copy of the legal document is available if required.

We consider Taggarts application for their extension should be declined.

Adrienne and Ivan Wolfe.