

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc:
Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 592
Date: Tuesday, 24 November 2020 4:36:54 PM
Attachments: [Joinsubmissionform.doc](#)

Group ID: 592

Consent name: Taggart Earthmoving Limited

Consent number: CRC204106, CRC204107, CRC204143, CRC211629, RC205104

Name: martha jolly

Care of:

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: Yes

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC204106: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing
- CRC204107: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing
- CRC204143: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing
- CRC211629: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing
- RC205104: oppose | WANT to be heard | WILL consider a joint hearing

Reasons comment:
Please see attached document

Consent comment:

Decline consent for Taggarts Earthmoving to use Rangiora Racecourse as as quarry site

FORM520: SUBMISSION ON RESOURCE CONSENT APPLICATIONS

SECTION 96 RESOURCE MANAGEMENT ACT (RMA) 1991

TO: Consents Hearings
Environment Canterbury
PO Box 345
Christchurch 8140

Ph: (03) 353 9007 Fax: (03) 365 3194

OR: Email: hearings@ecan.govt.nz

OR: submit by completing an on-line form at:
<https://www.ecan.govt.nz/do-it-online/resource-consents/notifications-and-submissions/notified-consents/>.

SUBMISSIONS CLOSE: 5.00pm FRIDAY 27 NOVEMBER 2020

A. PERSON/GROUP/ORGANISATION MAKING SUBMISSION

Full name of submitter:	Dr M E Jolly			
Postal address for Service:				Postcode:
Contact Phone:	Private:		Work:	
			Cell:	
Email Address:				
Contact Person:	Martha Jolly			

Information about this resource consent process, including any details relating to a hearing will be sent via email

☐: - Please tick this box if you do not wish to receive communications via email.

Name of applicant:	TAGGART EARTHMOVING LIMITED
Site Address:	RANGIORA RACECOURSE, 309 WEST BELT, RANGIORA

1. Applications to: Canterbury Regional Council

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> CRC204106 – land use to excavate | <input type="checkbox"/> CRC204107 discharge contaminants to air |
| <input type="checkbox"/> CRC204143 - discharge contaminants to land | <input type="checkbox"/> CRC211629 – water permit |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I/We support the above application | * <input type="checkbox"/> I/We oppose the above application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose) | |

2. Applications to: Waimakariri District Council

- | |
|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> RC205104 – land use to establish, maintain and operate an aggregate quarry |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I/We support the above application |
| * <input type="checkbox"/> I/We oppose the above application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> I/We are neutral to the application(neither support or oppose) |

3. The specific parts of the application that my/our submission relates to are: *(detail the specific activities or effects)*

I am preparing this submission in opposition to the recent application for resource consents by Taggarts Earthmoving Limited to develop and operate a quarry in the area of the Rangiora Racecourse on Lehmans road. I am a local resident who has worked in Rangiora for the last 13 years and lived here for five years. I own a property in the north western part of the town and am also a regular user of the recreational facilities across River Road from the racecourse, including walking and mountain biking tracks. This track network includes the stop-bank that runs parallel to River Road, opposite the racecourse.

North Canterbury is notoriously windy. I contest that the mitigation proposed for dust generation will not prevent substantial negative effects on surrounding properties, the traffic using River Road and also the recreational area across the stop-bank from River Road consisting of the bank itself and multiple walking and bike tracks. The same logic applies to the noise generated by the quarry itself and the 32 extra vehicle movements per hour generated by it.

I also feel it will be devastating for local residents, having a huge negative impact over 15 years on property values and the ability to sell real estate. This in itself negates the positive impact on the economy for Rangiora Racecourse. Why should one organisation benefit when it will devalue assets for so many more and the community? It is not the responsibility of a community to support a private enterprise such as both racing clubs. Other organisations using the racecourse facilities are named, surely they provide income to the clubs?

One of the stated benefits is positive economic outcome for the racing industry/jockey club. I propose that this industry is outdated and has many negative animal welfare implications and as such should not be taken into consideration as a positive.

Secondly regarding permitted use of public roads from 7am-6pm on a weekday and 7am-3pm on Saturdays with up to 250 new vehicle movements a day, it is likely that both noise and dust will have a negative effect on local residents and the recreation area between River Road and the Ashley River. They will also have a detrimental effect on road surface and local infrastructure. These effects are likely to be more than minor.

I agree that exploring the options for extracting gravel from land maybe beneficial but there must be better sites, further away from substantial residential settlements. Have these options been considered? It seems that the proposal is in contradiction to objective 5.2.1 of the CRPS part 2a " maintains, and where appropriate enhances, the overall natural environment of the Canterbury Region".

It can be seen that groundwater levels are shallow across the proposed site, being between 1.25 and 4m below ground level depending on the flow in the Ashley River and time of year. There is no possible way that this proposed gravel extraction can have no effect on groundwater quality at these levels. It is noted that some drinking water guidelines such as iron, pH, turbidity and E. coli are already exceeded in some places. With this in mind it is highly likely that the proposal will add to this. Of particular concern would be sediment leading to increases in turbidity and E.coli levels from surface run-off and water fowl contamination. Hydrocarbons from machinery engines are also a contamination. Groundwater contamination not only affects drinking water but also spring fed lowland streams and rivers in the lower catchment.

As the majority of the site sits within the Drinking Water Protection Zone for Rangiora township this is surely an unacceptable risk? If we look at the Christchurch Water Protection Zone, over the Waimakiriri River, there is a moratorium on fresh quarry developments. This should apply also in North Canterbury. I cannot see if the groundwater table is only 1.25m below surface level how Taggarts can maintain a 1m buffer zone between the two.

4. The reasons for making my/our submission are: *(state in summary the nature of your submission, giving reasons)*

In considering an application for consent, the consenting authorities must, under S104 RMA (1991) have regard to “any actual and potential effects on the environment of allowing the activity” . I contend that the potential effects on the environment from dust, noise , infrastructure damage and groundwater contamination are unlikely to be minor and not in keeping with Part 2 of the Act which allows for the sustainable management, use and development of natural and physical resources WHILE protecting the environment.

You say that cultural values are not likely to be impacted as per Iwi Management Plan. Have you consulted with tangata whenua on this matter? If so, what was the outcome? Is this documented anywhere?

5. I/we wish the consent authority to make the following decision: *(give details, including the general nature of any conditions sought.)*

In conclusion consents should be declined on the above criteria and prevent the denigration of property values and amenity values treasured by the Rangiora community

Please attach additional pages if required.

6. *☐ I/we **do** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission*

(Note: this means you wish to speak in support of your submission at the hearing)

*If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing ☐ Yes ☐ No

☐ I/we **do not** wish to be heard in support of my/our submission

(Note: this means you cannot speak at the hearing, however you will retain your right to appeal any decision to the Environment Court on any decision made by the Councils.)

7. ☐ I/we **am/are** a trade competitor for the purposes of section 308B of the Resource Management Act 1991.

I/We am/am not directly affected by an effect of the subject matter of the submission that a) adversely affects the environment; and
b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition.

8. I/we **request**, pursuant to section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, that you delegate your functions, powers and duties to hear and decide the application to 1 or more hearings commissioners who are not members of the local authority. *☐ Yes ☐ No

Signature

24/11/20

Date

Notes to the submitter:

1. *The person making this submission must send a copy to the applicant as soon as reasonably practicable after serving Environment Canterbury*
2. *A list of all submissions received will be provided to the applicant*
3. *Please be aware that third parties may request a copy of submissions received and that request is subject to the Local Government Official Information and Meetings Act 1987.*
4. *If you are a trade competitor, your right to make a submission may be limited by the trade competition provisions in Part 11A of the Resource Management Act 1991.*
5. *If you make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991, you must do so in writing no later than 5 working days after the close of submissions and you may be liable to meet or contribute to the costs of the hearings commissioner or commissioners. You may not make a request under section 100A of the Resource Management Act 1991 in relation to an application for a coastal permit to carry out an activity that a regional coastal plan describes as a restricted coastal activity.*
6. *Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):*
 - a. *it is frivolous or vexatious;*
 - b. *it discloses no reasonable or relevant case;*
 - c. *it would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;*
 - d. *it contains offensive language;*
 - e. *it is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.*