

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc:
Subject: CM: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 592
Date: Saturday, 7 November 2020 8:10:59 PM

Group ID: 592

Consent name: Taggart Earthmoving Limited

Consent number: CRC204106, CRC204107, CRC204143, CRC211629, RC205104

Name: Paula Hawkes

Care of:

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: Yes

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC204106: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC204107: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC204143: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC211629: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC205104: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

My family home is near the Rangiora Racecourse. I understand that the silica dust is very light and hard to see and can travel far, causing health harm. Although mitigation is mentioned, nothing in life is foolproof, and as stated where the dust in question is hard to see then it might not be noticed as a problem until it is beyond the ability to mitigate.

There will be noise and though I understand that there will be acoustic bunds, I believe this is merely to lower the noise level of the activity to a level that is considered tolerant to typical human beings with typical brains. I believe that until I speak up, no one is aware that I (and possibly others in the area) have a child on the Autism Spectrum. It is unreasonable to expect children (or Adults for that matter), on the Autism Spectrum to be able to tolerate the noise level when an ordinary activity such as vacuum cleaning is unable to be performed without people like this becoming intensely distressed and to think that this could be agreed to for fifteen years is unthinkable. This is over half of my child's growing up years. Seeing that in other areas, quarries permits get extended does show that putting up with it for fifteen years is no guarantee that fifteen years will be the end of it, so no guarantee of light at the end of the tunnel. To move house to escape this disaster is not what people like this need, they need familiarity and routine, they need their home! They NEED their QUIET home!

Consent comment:

Decline the application fully.

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc:
Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 592
Date: Thursday, 26 November 2020 3:43:16 PM

Group ID: 592

Consent name: Taggart Earthmoving Limited

Consent number: CRC204106, CRC204107, CRC204143, CRC211629, RC205104

Name: Paula Hawkes

Care of:

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: Yes

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC204106: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC204107: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC204143: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC211629: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC205104: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

Water concerns We need to be sure there is no destroying of our aquifer(s) or contaminating of our aquifer(s). Our drinking water is sacred, it deserves protection, it doesn't deserve to be manipulated and money made off it to the detriment of the users now and into the future. I saw on the Environment Canterbury website that even when clean

landfill is put back in that it is never repaired to how it was and becomes permanently vulnerable to increased microbial infiltration so even past the 15 years this will be a damage for infinity period for all future residents as well as the current residents. Contaminated recharge water will contaminate the aquifer unless there are natural barriers that can slow or stop its downward migration and if we quarry away the strong natural barriers and put in replacement weak barriers that only look pretty but cannot sift out the contamination then that is a problem.

Consent comment:
(nothing entered)

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc:
Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 592
Date: Thursday, 26 November 2020 3:46:49 PM

Group ID: 592

Consent name: Taggart Earthmoving Limited

Consent number: CRC204106, CRC204107, CRC204143, CRC211629, RC205104

Name: Paula Hawkes

Care of:

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: Yes

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC204106: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC204107: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC204143: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC211629: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC205104: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

Flooding concern This is more of a question than a statement, are Taggart going to do less extracting from the Ashley River if they are extracting from the Rangiora Racecourse? If extraction from our rivers are neglected then the rivers will become more full of aggregate that has flowed on down (from the Mountains etc) and the river will more likely flood as

the aggregate will raise the water higher and overflow.

Consent comment:
(nothing entered)

From:
To: [Hearings](#)
Cc:
Subject: Notifications Consent Submission: Group 592
Date: Thursday, 26 November 2020 3:51:01 PM

Group ID: 592

Consent name: Taggart Earthmoving Limited

Consent number: CRC204106, CRC204107, CRC204143, CRC211629, RC205104

Name: Paula Hawkes

Care of:

Mailing address 1:

Mailing address 2:

Suburb:

Town/City:

Post-code:

Country:

Mobile phone:

Work phone:

Home phone:

Email:

Contact by email: Yes

Is a trade competitor: No

Directly affected: Yes

Consent support/hearing details

- CRC204106: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC204107: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC204143: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- CRC211629: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing
- RC205104: oppose | WANT to be heard | will NOT consider joint hearing

Reasons comment:

I have not seen (and maybe other residents have not seen) the environmental assessment report provided by these strangers I don't know, reportedly in the newspaper as Pattle Delamore Partners Ltd. Whom requested the report? Was it Taggart or the Council or jointly or who? Who paid for the report? Why is this report not readily available or

findable on this website? I note that it is an environmental assessment only, so whom is doing a social assessment?

Consent comment:
(nothing entered)